Physical properties and forecasted resources of Shubarcol coal deposit

At the present work was described the method of estimating the forecasted reserves of mineral deposits. A general formula is proposed that relates the differentiated reserves of a mineral with its physical properties. As a physical value, both the results of geophysical exploration methods and measurements in laboratory conditions on core samples and formation samples can be used. Comparison of the reserves calculated by the proposed formula, but with different physical quantities, showed good accuracy of the proposed method. The method is used to estimate the reserves of the Shubarkol coal field, where the detailed geological exploration has not been carried out. The calculation gave total reserves of about 3 billion tons. The proposed method allows reducing the volume of geological exploration work and reducing the costs of their implementation.
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Introduction

One of the most common areas of quantitative assessment of promising metal reserves is the estimation based on the empirically established dependence of the reserves on the clark of the corresponding element. For the first time this dependence was described by V. McKelvey, later it was studied in detail by L.N. Ovchinnikov [1]. The latter, on the example of several dozen metals, as well as boron, sulfur, phosphorus and fluorine, showed that there is a direct relationship between the clark of the chemical element and its total world reserves in the ores of the deposits

\[ Q = k' c_0, \]  

where \( c_0 \) — average content of element in the earth's crust; \( k' \) — coefficient of proportionality.

The values of \( k' \) for these elements for continental depths of the order of 1.5–2 km are \( k' = (3.2 \pm 1.08) \cdot 10^{10} \) tons. The distribution of the values of \( k' \) is subject to normal law with a standard deviation of 3.07 · 1010. For depths of the order of 5–6 km, this coefficient is supposedly equal to \( k' \approx 1 \cdot 10^{11} \) tons. The presence of such a certain direct connection of the world's metal reserves in ores from its clark allows to obtain estimates of the indicated total reserves of it to depths of 2 or 6 km. In those cases where the currently known reserves are significantly smaller than the estimate based on the average value of \( k' \), can expect their respective increment in the future.

For nonmetallic minerals, equation (1) is unsuitable for estimating the forecasted reserves of mineral deposits. In this connection, up to the present time, various approaches to solving this problem have been developed [2–5].

In this paper, we consider the use of physical properties of coal to assess its predicted reserves in the coal deposit Shubarkol.

Estimation of predicted reserves of coal deposits

In general, the estimation of the forecasted resources belongs to the category of research, rather than engineering, tasks. Resources divided into three categories in terms of their reliability. Forecasted resources of category \( P_3 \) take into account only the potential possibility of discovering deposits on the basis of certain geological and paleogeographic prerequisites. Resources of category \( P_2 \) take into account the possibility of discovering new deposits in the basin, the area, and category \( P_1 \) are based on the possibility of expanding the boundaries of the distribution of minerals beyond the already explored contours [6].

When choosing methods for estimating the forecasted resources, it is necessary to give preference to those that allow calculating resources using parameters obtained on the basis of direct observations and measurements (geological, geophysical, geochemical, etc.) with minimal use of certain assumptions.
The most universal of these methods are estimation methods based on the use of analogies with standard ore objects (9) or with their generalized model and the varieties of these methods based on the use of regression or pattern recognition algorithms. In the absence of standards (in particular, fossils with predictable mineralization of new genetic or industrial types) methods of direct (standardless) estimation of the forecasted resources by calculations on the parameters of the medium and mineralization [7–9].

In the practice of calculating the reserves of all types of solid minerals, a single method of calculating reserves, called the geological block method, is used. Its essence consists in dividing the entire counting loop into a system of sections homogeneous by a group of parameters, called geological counting blocks. In this case, the number of stocks within each block can be determined using various methods. In the practice of exploration of coal deposits, two traditional methods are most common: the arithmetic mean and vertical cuts [6].

Thermodynamic approach to estimating predictive resources

In Refs. [10–12] we substantiated the thermodynamic approach in calculating the forecasted reserves of mineral deposits and obtained specific formulas, the generalization of which is the formula for differentiated reserves is

\[ P_q = \frac{1}{\ln(q.A)} \times 100\% . \]  

In formula (2), the value of A is equal to: \( \Delta g \) — anomaly of gravity (gravity prospecting); \( \rho \) — specific electrical resistance (electrical prospecting); \( \chi \) — magnetic susceptibility (magnetic prospecting); \( v \) — speed of seismic waves (seismic prospecting), etc.

The coefficient \( q \) for each physical property A has a well-defined value. It is calculated by the method described by us in [10].

For example, for electrical reconnaissance methods, the constant \( q = 155121.6 \). Table 1 presents calculations of the forecasted differentiated coal reserves of the main formations of the Karaganda coal basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Resistivity constant, ( \rho ) (Ohm·m)</th>
<th>Differentiated stocks (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dolinskaya</td>
<td>134,8</td>
<td>14,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenteinskaya</td>
<td>155,0</td>
<td>14,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karagandinskaya</td>
<td>145,1</td>
<td>14,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aşılyankıskaya</td>
<td>86,7</td>
<td>13,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Donetsk coal basin was opened in the 1720s near the present town of Lisichansk, Lugansk region. Industrial development began with the end of the XIX century. The area is about 60 thousand km². Total reserves to a depth of 1800 m - 140.8 billion tons. In the coal-bearing strata of Carboniferous age, up to 300 strata; The average thickness of the working strata is 0.6–1.2 m. Coals are of stone grades D - T (78 %), anthracites (22 %). The heat of combustion is 21.2–26.1 MJ / kg. The main mining centers are Donetsk, Krasnoarmeyesk, Makeyevka, Lisichansk, Gorlovka, Sverdlovsk, Rovenky, Anthracite, Torez, KrasnyiLuch and others.

Estimate under the formula (2) gives the value of the forecasted reserves for the Donetsk coal basin - 460 billion tons. This overestimation shows that for coal basins as a whole, it is not advisable to calculate the average value of resistivity. This is due to the change in the latter in a very wide range. Therefore, for example, for anthracites the resistivity varies from 10–3 to 10 Ohm•m. Therefore, the proposed method should
be used for individual suites containing coals of the same brand and having approximately the same resistance.

Table 2 presents the results of calculating the forecasted resources of some coal deposits in Kazakhstan, where gravity prospecting was conducted.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposit</th>
<th>Forecasted reserves (mln. tons)</th>
<th>Explored reserves (mln. tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teniz-Corzhunkolskiy</td>
<td>2243</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borly</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuucheku</td>
<td>3140</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycubinskiy</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>3647</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 presents the results of calculating the forecasted differentiated resources according to formula (2) for the coal formations of the Karaganda coal basin that we have already calculated according to the data of electrical prospecting and seismic survey.

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Differentiated stocks (%) byo (m/s)</th>
<th>Differentiated stocks (%) byp (Ohm·m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dolinskaya</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>14,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentekskaya</td>
<td>15,1</td>
<td>14,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karagandinskaya</td>
<td>14,4</td>
<td>14,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashlyarikskaya</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>13,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that both methods are in good agreement with each other within the experimental error, which speaks in favor of the proposed model.

**Shubarkol coal deposit and its forecasted reserves**

The Shubarkol deposit (Fig. 1) is an asymmetric trough, curved in a sublatitudinal direction with the largest axes of 15.0 and 6.5 km with an area of 702 km².

The maximum immersion of carbonaceous deposits is of the order of 250 m. The greatest angles of incidence of the coaliferous stratum from 10–25 ° to 30–35 ° at the outcrops of coal horizons are in the north and south of the field. The most steep are the north-west and south-eastern parts.

The western and eastern wings lie more hollow (10–20 °). The internal structure of the trough is simple with angles of incidence of 3–5 °. Disjunctive disorders within its limits have not been established.

![Shubarkol's field. Map of outcrops of coal horizons](image)
The industrial coal deposit of the deposit is confined to the lower part of the Jurassic section and contains three upper, middle and lower coal horizons.

The most interesting is the Upper Coal Horizon, which is widespread in the trough, is the most powerful, stable, has a relatively simple structure and is adopted for open development. The area with the simplest structure extends 1.5–2.0-kilometer strip from the north-western lock of the trough along the north wing of the fold to the exploration line 10 and belongs to the coal-accumulation unit.

Within its limits, the center of coal accumulation is clearly distinguished, where the horizon is a single monolithic deposit with a structure that is occasionally more complicated in individual excavations. In the center of coal accumulation, division of the horizon into two coal layers 2B and 1B is seen (Fig. 2). Plast 2B is distributed over 60 % of the area of the deposit and is the most powerful in the horizon (up to 22 m). It is composed of 3–5 coal packs with a thickness of 0.4–8.0 m, separated mainly by thin interlayers of mudstones and siltstones (0.03–0.50 m).

The 1B reservoir is traced by a 2.5–3.0-kilometer strip from the south-west to the northeast in the central part of the trough. The thickness of the reservoir is 6–9 m and is composed of 1–2 packs of coal in the western part of the deposit and 2–5 in the eastern part. In the southern direction, an increase in the number of coal seams occurs and a regular decrease in the working thickness of the formation is observed. The Seam is related to the seasoned.

The coal of the Shubarkol deposit belongs to the long-coal coals of grade D. with the heat of combustion from 5200 to 5700 kcal/kg. The industrial reserves of coal of the Shubarkol field are estimated at over 1.5 billion tons.

We now estimate the predicted reserves of the Shubarkol deposit according to formula (2), using as the physical quantity A the heat of combustion of the Shubarkol coal.

The coefficient \( q \) in formula (2) was calculated by the method of [10] and turned out to be \( 2.16 \times 10^{-4} \). Taking the average value of the calorific value 5450 kcal/kg, we obtain from the formula (2) the differentiated reserves of \( P_d = 14.7 \% \).

The average depth of occurrence of the coal seams of the Shubarkol’s field is 250 m. The total volume of the Shubarkol field will be 180 • 109 m³.

Knowing all these values, using the formula \( P = P_d \cdot V \), we find the predicted reserves of the Shubarkol deposit:

\[
P = 2.58 \text{ bil. tons.}
\]

The Shubarkol coal field was discovered in 1985, and its industrial development was started already in 1989. Detailed reconnaissance with calculation of reserves was not made. According to some sources (see, for example, [13]) the reserves of the Shubarkol deposit are estimated as over 1.5 billion tons.
The lack of detailed geological prospecting data is associated with the high cost of drilling operations and the subsequent chemical analysis of core and reservoir samples. If the standards are followed, at least 70,000 wells with a depth of at least 120 meters should be drilled in the Shubarkolsky field. To date, the cost of one running meter of a borehole costs 20 thousand tenge or more, and the cost of a chemical analysis of one sample is 16 thousand tenge or more. It is clear that the costs of a full geological survey and the calculation of the Shubarkol field's reserves will amount to an impressive amount.

Conclusion

The approach proposed at that work when estimating the forecasted reserves of mineral deposits allows a sharp reduction in the volume of geological exploration work during their geological mapping. This allows us to judge, at the stage of preliminary geological exploration of the field, the prospects of its further development.
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Шубарколь комір кен орындың физикалык қасиеттері және болжам коры

Макалаға қайдалы қазбалардың қолданылуы қажет болады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол, ал эрекеттесу үшін қолданылады. Физикалық физикалық қасиеттерден зерттеу әдеттерінің әдеттері мен зертханалық жұлдызларда өзгіртіліп, сүйемелер мен үлгілер бойынша қолданылмаған. Физикалық құрылыс шағын ретінде қосымша құрылыс мүмкіндігін арттырады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның ұқсас құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Есептелген құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалыңдың құрылысына қарай ол құрылыс болып, оның құрылысына қарай таңдау үшін қолданылады. Қазбалың
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Физические свойства и прогнозные ресурсы угольного месторождения Шубарколь

В работе описан метод оценки прогнозных запасов месторождений полезных ископаемых. Предложена общая формула, позволяющая оценивать прогнозные запасы полезного ископаемого с его геофизическим свойством. В качестве геофизических величин могут быть использованы геохимические методы разведки, измерения в лабораторных условиях на керновых и пластовых пробах. Сравнение запасов, вычисленных по предложенной формуле, с различными геофизическими величинами, показало хорошую точность предложенного метода. Метод использован для оценки запасов угольного месторождения Шубарколь, детальная геологическая разведка которого не проведена. Расчет дала суммарные запасы около 3 млрд тонн. Предложенный метод позволяет сократить объем геологоразведочных работ и затраты на их проведение.

Ключевые слова: уголь, месторождение, прогнозные запасы, гравиразведка, электроразведка, сейсморазведка, минерал.
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