

M.Yu. Ishmuratova<sup>1,2</sup>, A.A. Imanbaeva<sup>1</sup>, G.B. Kopbaeva<sup>1</sup>, I.N. Safronova<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Mangyshlak experimental botanical garden, Kazakhstan;*

<sup>2</sup>*Ye.A. Buketov Karaganda State University, Kazakhstan;*

<sup>3</sup>*V.L. Komarov Botanical Institute of Russian Academy of Science, Saint Petersburg, Russia  
(E-mail: margarita.ishmur@mail.ru)*

## **To the study of species composition of wild relatives of cultivated plants of floristic area Mugodzhary mountains**

At the article the analysis of species composition and perspective degree of wild relatives of cultivated plants of floristic region Mugodzhary (Aktobe region, Kazakhstan) is conducted. As results of treatment of literature data and own field investigation is determined that the list of wild relatives of cultivated plants of present floristic region includes 123 species from 73 genera and 19 families. The most spreading families are *Poaceae* (40 species), *Fabaceae* (18 species), *Rosaceae* (14 species) и *Asteraceae* (10 species). Among wild relatives of cultivated plants the maximum number belonged to fodder plants — 106 species; second position belonged to food plants — 61 species, on third position — medical plants (herbs) with 37 species. Meadow plants presented 24 species, technical plants — 20 species, vitamin plants — 13 species and decorative plants — 21 species. Among life forms are dominated perennial herbal plants. The analysis of priority of wild relatives of cultivated plants let us to separate all plants the following: to 1<sup>st</sup> group — 25 species; to 2<sup>nd</sup> group — 3 species; to 3<sup>rd</sup> group — 19 species; to 4<sup>th</sup> group — 4 species; to 5<sup>th</sup> group — 72 species.

*Keywords:* wild relatives of cultivated plants, Mugodzhary, floristic region, practical-useful properties, perspective properties, flora.

*Actuality.* The creation of new high productive sorts of plants, used for production of high qualitative foods and fodders, adapted for adverse environmental conditions, against diseases and vermin, needs a wide choice of initial materials which important part are wild relatives of cultivated plants (WRCP) [1–3]. Present times, WRCP includes not only those species which spontaneous or with participation of human took part in forming of cultural sorts, but those plants are potential sources for selective breeding.

The last years there is the imperative necessity for preparation of WRCP list for Kazakhstan with separation on floristic regions and geographic points, because without special investigation aimed at a thorough inventory of economically valuable species in the Republic, it is impossible to plan activities for their protection and practical use.

So, the purpose of present study was to identify a complete list of WRCP on the territory of floristic region mountains Mugodzhary (Aktobe region), range them by life forms, extent, prospects, and economic-term value.

### *Methodology*

The materials for compiling of Mugodzhary Mountains WRCP list were republican lists of flora [4–12], literatural sources of employers of All-Russian Institute of Plants (Saint-Petersburg) and others authors [13–15], also own field investigations.

In order to solve the problem of the selection of species needed in priority storage in situ, in All-Russian Institute of Plant perspective scale is devised [16, 17]. By degree of priority all WRCP were ranged by several parameters: participation in the selection process (direct participation, participation in hybridization, the use as donors of useful traits, as rootstocks, etc.), systematic proximity to the cultural species, and the degree of use in the human economic activity. As result five groups were separated: 1<sup>st</sup> group are species directly presented in culture, having sorts; 2<sup>nd</sup> group — species directly participated in hybridization as sources of genes or as rootstock; 3<sup>rd</sup> group — species with close relationship with the introduced in culture (consisting of one section or subgenus), perspective for economic use; 4<sup>th</sup> group — other useful species of the genus used in gathering and national selection; 5<sup>th</sup> group — all other species of this genus.

Determination of life forms conducted with methodological recommendations of I.G. Serebryakov [18], practical-valued groups of plants — on the base of bibliography data [19].

## Results and their discussion

Mugodzhary Mountains are mountain ranges on West of Kazakhstan, which are southern Ural Mountains ending [20, 21]. Mugodzhary (or Mugodzhary ridge) stretched from north to south approximately 200 km, and with width of 30 km.

They are a narrow ridge, which are nearby Mount Airyuk divided into two parallel ranges — the Western and the Eastern Mugodzhary. West ridge is above the east. Heir is the most significant Apex of Mugodzhary — Big Boktybay (657 m). The average height of Mugodzhary is 250–350 m.

Mugodzhary have the landscape of steppe zone. At the western part of Mugodzhary Mountains on brown, dark-brown soils are grown up plants from genus *Stipa* and *Festuca*. On the west of province there are massive of desert steppe, in mountains — stone steppe with *c Artemisia lessingiana*, also thickets with *Spiraea hypericifolia*. In a strongly dismembered hollows there are plants belonging to the group of *Artemisia pauciflora*. On the upper slopes of ravines are grown bushes of acacia, meadowsweet, wild cherry, and on the shores of rivers — poplar, birch, oak, willow. In lowland arid steppe of Mugodzhary soils are light-brown, vegetation cover is inherent for steppe and desert zones. On salty-brown soils are grown *Stipa pennata*, *Stipa tenuissima*, *Atriplex*.

On the south Mugodzhary covered vegetative societies with *Stipa*, *Artemisia* and *Salsola*. Along the axis of the ridge feather-fescue rocky steppes on dark-brown gravelly soils with lots of feather grass, fescue and wormwood dominated; on the slopes of steppe shrubs developed; and in moist logs — small birch grove. In the foothills were wormwood-fescue rocky steppes on light-brown carbonate and salty gravelly soils with an abundance of other species of wormwood; in the south they are replaced by fescue-black wormwood stony semi-desert; on saline soils — with *Atriplex cana* and other halophytes.

Altitude zonation is expressed very poorly. Heir is dominated a mountain-steppe zone, occupied almost central area of Mugodzhary. In depressions with moisten-set are grown groves of *Betula*, *Populus tremula*, *Salix*, *Prunus padus*, *Cerasus fruticosa*, *Amygdalus nana* and *Caragana*.

As a result of research in the area of floral region Mugodzhary Mountains (Aktobe oblast) are revealed 123 species of WRCP from 73 genera and 19 families (Table 1).

Table 1

The list of WRCP of floristic region — Mugodzhary Mountains

Family	Genus	Species	Life form	Economic importance	Group of perspectives
1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Alliaceae</i>	<i>Allium</i> L.	<i>A. angulosum</i> L.	Perennial	F,v	5
		<i>A. delicatulum</i> Siev.ex Roem.et Schult.	Perennial	F,v	5
		<i>A. flavescens</i> Bess.	Perennial	F,v	5
		<i>A. globosum</i> M.Bieb.ex Redoute	Perennial	F,v	5
		<i>A. iberiense</i> Fisch.et Bunge	Perennial	F,v	5
		<i>A. lineare</i> L.	Perennial	F,v	5
		<i>A. praescissum</i> Reichenb.	Perennial	F,v	5
<i>Amaranthaceae</i>	<i>Amaranthus</i> L.	<i>A. albus</i> L.	Annual	F,fd,d,t	4
		<i>A. blitoides</i> S.Wats.	Annual	F,fd,d,t	5
		<i>A. retroflexus</i> L.	Annual	Fd,d,t,m	1
<i>Asparagaceae</i>	<i>Asparagus</i> L.	<i>A. officinalis</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,f,m,d	1
<i>Asteraceae</i>	<i>Artemisia</i> L.	<i>A. dracuncululus</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,m,f	1
		<i>A. terrae-albae</i> Krasch.	Perennial	Fd,m	4
	<i>Cichorium</i> L.	<i>C. intybus</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,f,m,d	1
	<i>Inula</i> L.	<i>I. caspica</i> Blume	Perennial	Fd,m	5
		<i>I. britannica</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,m	5
		<i>I. germanica</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,m	5
		<i>I. helenium</i> L.	Perennial	F,fd,m	3
	<i>Lactuca</i> L.	<i>L. serriola</i> Torner.ex L. Centur	Annual	F, fd	3
		<i>L. tatarica</i> (L.) C.A. Mey.	Perennial	F, fd	3
	<i>Taraxacum</i> Wigg.	<i>T. officinale</i> Wigg.	Perennial	Fd,f,m	3

1	2	3	4	5	6
Brassicaceae	<i>Alyssum</i> L.	<i>A. desertorum</i> Stapf.	Annual	Fd	5
	<i>Brassica</i> L.	<i>B. elongata</i> Ehrh.	Biennial	Fd,t,f	5
		<i>B. juncea</i> (L.) Czern.	Biennial	M,fd,f,md	5
	<i>Camelina</i> Crantz.	<i>C. micricarpa</i> Andrz.	Annual	F, fd	5
	<i>Iruca</i> Adans.	<i>I. sativa</i> Lam.	Annual	F	5
	<i>Isatis</i> L.	<i>I. tinctoria</i> L.	Biennial	Fd, t	2
<i>I. sabulosa</i> Stev. ex Ledeb.		Biennial	Fd	3	
Cannabaceae	<i>Cannabis</i> L.	<i>C. ruderalis</i> Janisch.	Annual	Fd,f,t,m	1
	<i>Humulus</i> L.	<i>H. lupulus</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,f,m	1
Caprifoliaceae	<i>Lonicera</i> L.	<i>L. microphylla</i> Willd. et Schult.	Bush	F, d	4
		<i>L. tatarica</i> L.	Bush	F, d	1
Chenopodiaceae	<i>Anabasis</i> L.	<i>A. salsa</i> (C.A. Mey.) Benth	Shrub	Fd	5
	<i>Atriplex</i> L.	<i>A. cana</i> C.A. Mey	Semi-shrub	F, t	5
		<i>A. tatarica</i> L. – л.седая	Annual	Fd, t	5
		<i>Ceratocarpus</i> L.	<i>C. arenarius</i> L.	Annual	Fd
	<i>Kochia</i> Roth.	<i>K. laniflora</i> (S.G. Gmel.) Bobr.	Annual	Fd, t, d	5
		<i>K. prostrata</i> (L.) Schrad.	Perennial	Fd	3
		<i>K. scoparia</i> (L.) Schrad.	Annual	Fd,t,d	1
	<i>Krascheninnikovia</i> Gueldenst.	<i>K. ceratoides</i> (L.) Gueldenst.	Semi-bush	Fd,t,md	5
<i>Salsola</i> L.	<i>S. australis</i> R.Br.	Annual	Fd, t	5	
Elaeagnaceae	<i>Elaeagnus</i> L.	<i>E. angustifolia</i> L.	Tree	T,md,fd,f,d	1
		<i>E. oxycarpa</i> Schlecht.	Tree	T,md,fd,d	1
Fabaceae	<i>Glycyrrhiza</i> L.	<i>G. aspera</i> Pall.	Perennial	Fd, m	5
		<i>G. korshinskyi</i> G.Grig.	Perennial	Fd, m	4
		<i>G. uralensis</i> Fisch.	Perennial	Fd,m,t	3
		<i>Lathyrus</i> L.	<i>L. palustris</i> L.	Perennial	Fd
	<i>L. pisiformis</i> L.		Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>L. pratensis</i> L.		Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>L. tuberosus</i> L.		Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Medicago</i> L.		<i>M. falcata</i> L.	Perennial	Fd, md
		<i>M. komarovii</i> Vass.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>M. lupulina</i> L.	Annual	Fd	3
		<i>M. trautvetteri</i> Sumn.	Perennial	Fd, md	3
	<i>Melilotus</i> Adans.	<i>M. albus</i> Desr.	Biennial	Fd, md, m	1
		<i>M. officinalis</i> (L.) Desr.	Biennial	Fd, md, m	1
	<i>Trigonella</i> L.	<i>T. arcuata</i> C.A. Mey	Annual	Fd	5
	<i>Vicia</i> L.	<i>V. cracca</i> L.	Perennial	Fd, d	5
		<i>V. tenuifolia</i> Roth.	Perennial	Fd, md	5
		<i>V. sepium</i> L.	Annual	Fd, md	5
		<i>V. tetrasperma</i> (L.) Schreb.	Annual	Fd, md	5
Grossulariaceae	<i>Ribes</i> L.	<i>R. aureum</i> Pursh	Bush	Fd, f, d	1
		<i>R. saxatile</i> Pall.	Bush	F	3
Lamiaceae	<i>Mentha</i> L.	<i>M. arvensis</i> L.	Perennial	F	1
Malvaceae	<i>Althaea</i> L.	<i>A. officinalis</i> L.	Perennial	T,fd,m	2
	<i>Malva</i> L.	<i>M. pusilla</i> Smith	Perennial	Fd,m	5
Poaceae	<i>Aeleropus</i> Trin.	<i>A. littoralis</i> (Gouan) Parl.	Perennial	Fd,m	5
	<i>Agropyron</i> Gaertn.	<i>A. cristatum</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Perennial	Fd, f	1
		<i>A. desertorum</i> (Fisch. ex Link.) Schult.	Perennial	Fd, f	3
		<i>A. fragile</i> (Roth) Candargy	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>A. pectinatum</i> (Bieb.) Beauv.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>A. ramosum</i> (Trin.) Richt.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Agrostis</i> L.	<i>A. alba</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Alopecurus</i> L.	<i>A. pratensis</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Anisantha</i> C. Koch.	<i>A. tectorum</i> (L.) Nevski	Annual	Fd	5
	<i>Beckmannia</i> Host	<i>B. eruciformis</i> (L.) Host	Perennial	Fd	5

1	2	3	4	5	6
	<i>Bromopsis</i> Fourr.	<i>B.inermis</i> (Leyss.) Holub.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Bromus</i> L.	<i>B.japonicus</i> Thunb.	Annual	Fd	5
		<i>B.squarrosus</i> L.	Annual	Fd	5
	<i>Crypsis</i> Ait.	<i>C.aculeata</i> (L.) Ait.	Annual	Fd	5
	<i>Cynodon</i> Rich.	<i>C.dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	Perennial	Fd, md	5
	<i>Echinochloa</i> P.B.	<i>E.crus galli</i> (L.) Roem.et Schult.	Annual	Fd	5
	<i>Elytrigia</i> Desv.	<i>E.repens</i> (L.) Neski	Perennial	Fd,m	3
	<i>Eremopyrum</i> (Ledeb.) Jaub.et Spach	<i>E.bonaepartis</i> (Spreng.) Nevski	Annual	Fd	5
		<i>E.orientale</i> (L.) Jaub.et Spach	Annual	Fd	5
		<i>E.triticeum</i> (Gaertn.) Nevki	Annual	Fd, f	5
	<i>Festuca</i> L.	<i>F.orientalis</i> Kerner ex Hack.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Hierochloe</i> R.Br.	<i>H.odorata</i> (L.) Wahlb.	Perennial	F	5
	<i>Helictotrichon</i> Bess.	<i>H.desertorum</i> (Less.) Nevski	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Hordeum</i> L.	<i>H.bogdanii</i> Wilensky	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>H.brevisibulatum</i> (Trin.) Link	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Koeleria</i> Pers.	<i>K.glauca</i> (Spreng.) DC.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>K.gracilis</i> Pers.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Melica</i> L.	<i>M.taurica</i> K.Koch.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>M.transsilvanica</i> Schur.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Poa</i> L.	<i>P.angustifolia</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>P.annua</i> L.	Annual	Fd	5
		<i>P.bulbosa</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>P.compressa</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>P.pratensis</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>P.serotina</i> Ehrh.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Phleum</i> L.	<i>Ph.phleoides</i> (L.) Karst.	Perennial	Fd,d	5
		<i>Ph.pratense</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Pucinellia</i> Parl.	<i>P.gigantea</i> Grossh.	Perennial	Fd	5
	<i>Secale</i> L.	<i>S.sylvestre</i> Host	Annual	Fd, f	3
	<i>Setaria</i> P.B.	<i>S.viridis</i> (L.) P.B.	Annual	Fd	5
Polygonaceae	<i>Fagopyrum</i> Gaertn.	<i>F.tataricum</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Annual	Fd, f	1
	<i>Polygonum</i> L.	<i>P.aviculare</i> L.	Annual	Fd,f,m,t	3
	<i>Rheum</i> L.	<i>Rh.tataricum</i> L.	Perennial	Fd,f,m	3
	<i>Rumex</i> L.	<i>R.acetosa</i> L.	Biennial	Fd, f	1
		<i>R.confertus</i> Willd.	Perennial	Fd,t,f,m	5
		<i>R.crispus</i> L.	Perennial	Fd, f	5
		<i>R.maritimus</i> L.	Perennial	Fd	5
		<i>R.marschallianus</i> Reichenb.	Annual	Fd,f,m	5
<i>R.thyrsoiflorus</i> Fingern.	Perennial	Fd, f	5		
Rosaceae	<i>Amygdalus</i> L.	<i>A.nana</i> L.	Bush	D,f,m	3
	<i>Cerasus</i> Juss.	<i>C.fruticosa</i> (Pall.) G.Woron.	Bush	Fd,d,m	1
	<i>Cotoneaster</i> Medik.	<i>C.melanocarpa</i> Lodd.	Bush	D,f,t	5
	<i>Crataegus</i> L.	<i>C.altaica</i> Lge.	Tree	F,fd,md,d,m,v	5
	<i>Fragaria</i> L.	<i>F.vesca</i> L.	Perennial	F,fd,m,v,md	3
	<i>Padus</i> Mill.	<i>P.racemosa</i> (Lam.) Gilib.	Bush	F,d,md,t	1
	<i>Prunus</i> Mill	<i>P.spinosa</i> L.	Bush	F,v,d	1
	<i>Rosa</i> L.	<i>R.acicularis</i> Lindl.	Bush	F,m,v,md	1
		<i>R.beggeriana</i> Schrenk	Bush	F,m,v,md	1
		<i>R.canina</i> L.	Bush	F,v,m,d,md	
		<i>R.pisiformis</i> Regel.	Bush	F,v,m,d,md	3
		<i>R.laxa</i> Retz.	Bush	F,m,d,md	3
	<i>Rubus</i> L.	<i>R.caesius</i> L.	Bush	F,fd,m,v,md	1
<i>R.matsumuranus</i> Levl.et Vaniot		Bush	F,fd,md	5	

1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Solanaceae</i>	<i>Solanum</i> L.	<i>S.dulcamara</i> L.	Semi-bush	F	1
		<i>S.nigrum</i> L.	Annual	F	1
<i>Urticaceae</i>	<i>Urtica</i> L.	<i>U.dioica</i> L.	Perennial	F,fd,t,m	3
<i>Vacciniaceae</i>	<i>Oxycoccus</i> Adans.	<i>O.quadripetalus</i> Gilib.	Semi-bush	F	1

Footnote. Groups of practical value: f — food, v — vitamin, fd — fodder, m — medical, t — technical, md — meadow, d — decorative.


The greatest number of WRCP species noted in the family Poaceae (40 species), Fabaceae (18 species), Rosaceae (14 species) and Asteraceae (10 species) (Table 2).

Table 2

**Taxonomic composition of WRCP of floristic region Mugodzhary**

Family	Numbers of genera, pieces	Numbers of species, pieces
<i>Alliaceae</i>	1	7
<i>Amaranthaceae</i>	1	3
<i>Asparagaceae</i>	1	1
<i>Asteraceae</i>	5	10
<i>Brassicaceae</i>	5	7
<i>Cannabaceae</i>	2	2
<i>Caprifoliaceae</i>	1	2
<i>Chenopodiaceae</i>	6	9
<i>Elaeagnaceae</i>	1	2
<i>Fabaceae</i>	6	18
<i>Grossulariaceae</i>	1	2
<i>Lamiaceae</i>	1	1
<i>Malvaceae</i>	2	2
<i>Poaceae</i>	24	40
<i>Polygonaceae</i>	4	9
<i>Rosaceae</i>	9	14
<i>Solanaceae</i>	1	2
<i>Urticaceae</i>	1	1
<i>Vacciniaceae</i>	1	1


We conducted analysis of practical-valued groups of plants. So, it is determined that among WRCP the maximum number belonged to fodder plants — 106 species; second position belonged to food plants — 61 species, on third position — medical plants (herbs) with 37 species. Meadow plants presented 24 species, technical plants — 20 species, vitamin plants — 13 species and decorative plants — 21 species (Fig. 1).



Practical-valued groups: 1 — fodder, 2 — food, 3 — medical, 4 — meadow, 5 — technical, 6 — vitamin, 7 — decorative

Figure 1. Spreading of WRCP of Mugodzhary Mountains by practical-valued groups

By degree of priority and perspectives all species are separated irregularly. So, in 1<sup>st</sup> group are included 25 species (among them *Rubus caesius*, *Solanum dulcamara*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Padus racemosa* and other) (Fig. 2).




Group of perspectives: 1 — species directly presented in culture, having sorts; 2 — species directly participated in hybridization as sources of genes or as rootstock; 3 — species with close relationship with the introduced in culture; 4 — other useful species of the genus used in gathering and national selection; 5 — all other species of present genus

Figure 2. Spreading of WRCP of Mugodzhyry Mountains by groups of perspectives

To the second group belongs 3 species. To the third group are assigned 19 WRCP species (*Rubus saxatile*, *Urtica dioica*, *Amygdalus nana*, *Elytrigia repens*, *Kochia scoparia* and others). To the fourth group are included 4 species (*Lonicera microphylla*, *Amatanthus albus*, *Artemisia terrae-albae*). To the fifth group belongs the maximum number of plants — 72 species.

Analysis of life forms showed the following (Fig. 3): herbal perennial plants — 69 species, biennial and annual plants — 28 species, trees — 4 species, bushes — 16 species, shrubs — 1 species, semi-bushes — 4 species, semi-shrubs — 1 species.



Life forms: 1 — perennial, 2 — annual and biennial, 3 — trees, 4 — bushes, 5 — shrubs, 6 — semi-bushes, 7 — semi-shrubs

Figure 3. Spreading of WRCP of Mugodzhyry Mountains by life forms

All results of investigation were included in Data Base «BD-PLANT-KZ», seed materials of the most perspective WRCP were included in seed bank and introduction experiment on the territory of Mangyshlak Botanical Garden.

### Conclusion

Thus, on the territory of floristic region Mugodzhary grows 123 WRCP species from 73 genera and 19 families. The most spreading are the representatives from families *Poaceae*, *Fabaceae*, *Rosaceae* and *Asteraceae*. Among economically-valuable groups dominated WRCP possessing fodder, food and medicinal properties. Among the life forms are dominated herbal perennial plants.

Analysis WRCP priority allowed to distribute the plants as follows: to the first group — 25 species; to the second group — 3 species; a third group — 19 species; a fourth group — 4 species; a fifth group — 72 species.

*Acknowledgements.* The present investigation was carried out the theme «The botanical diversity of wild relatives of cultivated plants of Western Kazakhstan as a source of enrichment and conservation of agro-biodiversity gene pool for the implementation of the food program».

### Список литературы

- 1 Коровина О.Н. Природный генофонд дикорастущих родичей культурных растений флоры СССР и его охрана (аннотированный перечень). — Л.: Наука, 1986. — 126 с.
- 2 Жуковский П.М. Культурные растения и их сородичи. — Л.: Наука, 1969. — 564 с.
- 3 Никитин В.В., Бондаренко О.Н. Дикие сородичи культурных растений и их распространение на территории СССР (конспект). — Л.: Наука, 1975. — 69 с.
- 4 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 1. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН ССР, 1965. — 354 с.
- 5 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 2. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1958. — 290 с.
- 6 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 3. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1960. — 458 с.
- 7 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 4. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1961. — 545 с.
- 8 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 5. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1961. — 515 с.
- 9 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 6. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1963. — 465 с.
- 10 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 7. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1964. — 498 с.
- 11 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 8. — Алма-Ата: Изд-во АН КазССР, 1964. — 279 с.
- 12 Флора Казахстана. — Т. 9. — Алма-Ата: Наука, 1966. — 425 с.
- 13 Смекалова Т.Н., Лебедева Е.Г., Лунева Н.Н., Чухина И.Г. Информационно-поисковая система «Дикорастущие родичи культурных растений» // Ботанические исследования в азиатской России: Материалы XI съезда Русского ботанического общества. — Барнаул, 2003. — С. 116–118.
- 14 Smealova T. Specific features of in situ conservation strategy in Russia // XXVI International Horticultural Congress and Exhibition. — Toronto, 2002. — P. 526.
- 15 Нухимовская Ю.Д., Смекалова Т.Н., Чухина И.Г. Дикорастущие родичи культурных растений в заповедниках России // Фундаментальные основы управления биологическими ресурсами: сб. науч. тр. — М.: Изд-во КМК, 2005. — С. 102–113.
- 16 Смекалова Т.Н., Лунева Н.Н., Чухина И.Г. Проблемы сохранения диких родичей культурных растений в составе природных растительных сообществ (in situ) на территории России // Генетические ресурсы культурных растений. Проблемы мобилизации, инвентаризации, сохранения и изучения генофонда важнейших сельскохозяйственных культур для решения приоритетных задач селекции: сб. науч. тр. — СПб.: Изд-во ВИР, 2001. — С. 57–59.
- 17 Смекалова Т.Н., Чухина И.Г., Лунева Н.Н. Основные аспекты стратегии сохранения диких родичей культурных растений // Проблемы ботаников Южной Сибири и Монголии: материалы I Междунар. науч.-практ. конф. — Барнаул, 2002. — С. 265–269.
- 18 Серебряков И.Г. Жизненные формы высших растений и их изучение // Полевая геоботаника. — Т. 3. — М.-Л.: Наука, 1964. — С. 146–205.
- 19 Грудзинская Л.М., Есимбекова М.А., Гемеджиева Н.Г., Мукин К.Б. Дикорастущие полезные растения Казахстана (каталог). — Алматы: Изд-во Ин-та ботаники, 2008. — 100 с.
- 20 Шакиров А.В. Физико-географические особенности и районирование Мугоджар // Степи Северной Евразии: материалы VI Междунар. симпозиума. — Оренбург, 2012. — С. 256–259.
- 21 Чибилев А.А., Дебело П.В. Ландшафты Урало-Каспийского региона. — Оренбург: Ин-т степи УрО РАН, 2006. — 264 с.

М.Ю. Ишмуратова, А.А. Иманбаева, Г.Б. Көпбаева, И.Н. Сафронова

### Мұғаджар флористикалық ауданының мәдени өсімдіктерінің жабайы туыстарын зерттеу

Мақалада Мұғаджар флористикалық ауданының мәдени өсімдіктерінің жабайы туыстарының (МӨЖТ) түр құрамы мен болашағының дәрежесі талданды. Жарияланған деректер мен өздеріміздің далалық зерттеулер мәліметтерін өңдеу нәтижесінде осы флоралық ауданда мәдени өсімдіктерінің жабайы туыстары 19 тұқымдас пен 73 туыстан тұрайтын 123 түрді құрады. МӨЖТ-ның ең көп түр саны *Poaceae* (40 түр), *Fabaceae* (18), *Rosaceae* (14) және *Asteraceae* (10 түр) тұқымдастырында байқалды. Мәдени өсімдіктердің жабайы түрлерінің арасында көптеген азықтық өсімдіктердің санына — 106 түр, екінші орында азық-түлік өсімдіктер — 61, үшінші орында дәрілік өсімдіктерге 37 түр жатады. Балды өсімдіктерінің 24 түрі, техникалық өсімдіктердің — 20, дәрумен өсімдіктердің — 13 және сәндік өсімдіктердің 21 түрі берілген. Өмір сүру формаларының ішінен көпжылдық шөптесін өсімдіктер басым болып келеді. МӨЖТ-нің түрлерін басымдылығына сәйкес талдауда өсімдіктерді келесідей орналастырылды: 1-топқа — 25 түр; 2-топқа — 3; 3-топқа — 19; 4-топқа — 4; 5 топқа — 72 түр. Түрлердің басым саны өмір сүру формасы арасында бір, екі және көпжылдық өсімдіктер қатарына тиесілі.

*Кілт сөздер:* мәдени өсімдіктерінің жабайы туыстары, Мұғаджар флористикалық ауданы, шаруашылық қасиеті, болашағы, флора.

М.Ю. Ишмуратова, А.А. Иманбаева, Г.Б. Көпбаева, И.Н. Сафронова

### Изучение видового состава диких сородичей культурных растений флористического района Мугоджары

В статье проведен анализ видового состава и степени перспективности диких сородичей культурных растений флористического района Мугоджары (Актюбинская область, Казахстан). В результате обработки литературных данных и собственных полевых исследований перечень диких сородичей культурных растений данного флористического района составил 123 вида ДСКР из 73 родов и 19 семейств. Наиболее широко распространенными являются представители сем. *Poaceae* (40 видов), *Fabaceae* (18 видов), *Rosaceae* (14 видов) и *Asteraceae* (10 видов). Среди диких сородичей культурных растений наибольшее число относится к кормовым растениям — 106 видов, вторую позицию занимают пищевые растения — 61 вид, третью позицию — медицинские растения с 37 видами. Медоносные растения представлены 24 видами, технические — 20, витаминные — 13 видами и декоративные растения — 21 видом. Среди жизненных форм доминируют многолетние травянистые растения. Анализ приоритетности ДСКР позволил распределить растения следующим образом: к 1-й группе отнесены 25 видов; ко 2-й — 3 вида; к 3-й — 19 видов; к 4-й — 4 вида и к 5-й группе — 72 вида.

*Ключевые слова:* дикие сородичи культурных растений, Мугоджары, флористический район, хозяйственные свойства, перспективность, флора.

#### References

- 1 Korovina O.N. *The natural genetic fund of wild relatives of cultivated plants of USSR flora and its storage (annotated list)*, Leningrad: Nauka, 1986, 126 p.
- 2 Zhukovskii P.M. *The cultivated plants and their relatives*, Leningrad: Nauka, 1969, 564 p.
- 3 Nikitin V.V., Bondarenko O.N. *The wild relatives of cultivated plants and their spreading at the territory of USSR (conspectus)*, Leningrad: Nauka, 1975, 69 p.
- 4 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 1, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1965, 354 p.
- 5 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 2, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1958, 290 p.
- 6 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 3, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1960, 458 p.
- 7 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 4, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1961, 545 p.
- 8 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 5, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1961, 515 p.
- 9 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 6, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1963, 465 p.
- 10 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 7, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1964, 498 p.
- 11 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 8, Alma-Ata: Publishing AS of KazSSR, 1964, 279 p.
- 12 Flora of Kazakhstan, vol. 9, Alma-Ata: Nauka, 1966, 425 p.



13 Smekalova T.N., Lebedeva E.G., Luneva N.N., Chuhina I.G. *Botanical investigation in Asian Russia: materials XI Congress of the Russian Botanical Society*, Barnaul, 2003, p. 116–118.

14 Smekalova T. *XXVI International Horticultural Congress and Exhibition*, Toronto, 2002, p. 526.

15 Nukhimovskiya Yu.D., Smekalova T.N., Chuhina I.G. *The fundamental base of management of biological resources: collection of scientific papers*, Moscow: Publishing KMK, 2005, p. 102–113.

16 Smekalova T.N., Luneva N.N., Chuhina I.G. *Genetic resources of cultivated plants. Problems of mobilization, inventory, storage and study of the most important agricultural plants' genetic fund for deciding of priory tasks of select breeding: collection of scientific papers*, Saint-Petersburg: publishing VIR, 2001, p. 57–59.

17 Smekalova T.N., Chuhina I.G., Luneva N.N. *Problems of botanists of Southern Siberia and Mongolia: Proceedings of 1<sup>st</sup> International scientific and practical Conference*, Barnaul, 2002, p. 265–269.

18 Serebryakov I.G. *Field Geo Botany*, 3, Moscow-Leningrad: Nauka, 1964, p. 146–205.

19 Grudzynskaya L.M., Esimbekova M.A., Gemedzhieva N.G., Mukin K.B. *Wild useful plants of Kazakhstan (catalog)*, Almaty: Publishing of Institute of Botany, 2008, 100 p.

20 Shakirov A.V. *Steppe of Northern Eurasia: proceedings of VI International Symposium*, Orenburg, 2012, p. 256–259.

21 Chibilev A.A., Debelo P.V. *The landscape of Ural-Caspian region*, Orenburg: Institute of steppe of Ural department of Russian Academy of Science, 2006, 264 p.

РЕПОЗИТОРИЙ КАРГУ