Conditions of coercive solvability of third-order differential equation with unbounded intermediate coefficients

In this paper we study the following equation \(-y''' + r(x)y'' + q(x)y' + s(x)y = f(x)\), where the intermediate coefficients \(r\) and \(q\) do not depend on \(s\). We give the conditions of the coercive solvability for \(f \in L_2(-\infty, +\infty)\) of this equation. For the solution \(y\), we obtained the following maximal regularity estimate: 
\[
\|y'''\|_2 + \|ry''\|_2 + \|qy'\|_2 + \|sy\|_2 \leq C \|f\|_2,
\]
where \(\|\cdot\|_2\) is the norm of \(L_2(-\infty, +\infty)\). This estimate is important for study of the quasilinear third-order differential equation in \((-\infty, +\infty)\). We investigate some binomial degenerate differential equations and we prove that they are coercive solvable.

Here we apply the method of the separability theory for differential operators in a Hilbert space, which was developed by M. Otelbaev. Using these auxiliary statements and some well-known Hardy type weighted integral inequalities, we obtain the desired result. In contrast to the preliminary results, we do not assume that the coefficient \(s\) is strict positive, the results are also valid in the case that \(s = 0\).
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### 1 Introduction and Main Theorems

We consider the following linear differential equation:
\[
L_0y \equiv -y''' + r(x)y'' + q(x)y' + s(x)y = f(x),
\]  
(1.1)

where \(x \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)\) and \(f \in L_2 := L_2(\mathbb{R})\). By \(C_{\text{loc}}^{(j)}(\mathbb{R})\) \((j = 0, 1, 2, ...\) we denote the set of the \(j\)-times continuously differentiable functions in the every compact, \(C_{\text{loc}}^{(0)}(\mathbb{R}) = C_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})\) is the set of the continuous functions. We assume that \(r \in C_{\text{loc}}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})\), \(q \in C_{\text{loc}}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})\), \(s \in C_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})\) in (1.1) are real functions, in general, they are unbounded. We denote by \(L\) the closure in \(L_2\) of the operator \(L_0\) defined on the set of three times continuously differentiable functions with compact support \(C_{0}^{(3)}(\mathbb{R})\).

**Definition 1.1.** The function \(y \in L_2\) is called a solution of the equation (1.1), if \(y \in D(L)\) and \(Ly = f\).

In future, by \(C, C_1, C_2\) and etc. we will denote the positive constants, which, generally speaking, are different in the different places.

**Definition 1.2.** The solution \(y\) of the equation (1.1) is called a maximally \(L_2\)-regular, if the following estimate holds:
\[
\|y'''\|_2 + \|ry''\|_2 + \|qy'\|_2 + \|sy\|_2 \leq C \|f\|_2,
\]  
(1.2)

where \(\|\cdot\|_2\) is a norm in \(L_2\). The inequality (1.2) is called the maximal \(L_2\)-regularity estimate. If (1.2) holds, then the operator \(L\) is said to be separable in \(L_2\).

The purpose of this work is to find the sufficient conditions for correct solvability of the equation (1.1) and the fulfillment of the estimate (1.2) for a solution of the equation (1.1). The important examples of the equation (1.1) are the Korteweg-de Vries equation (linearized) and its modifications that describe the wave propagation and are used in the problems of a gas dynamics (see [1] and the references therein), as well as the composite type equations that are used in the hydrodynamics and hydromechanics [2]. Furthermore, the equation (1.1) appear in the case that we apply the Fourier method to the partial differential equations of mathematical physics. The other applications of the third-order differential equations can be seen in [3–6].

The smoothness problems for solutions of the equation (1.1) are of a great interest. The case of the bounded domains and smooth scalar coefficients are well understood and sufficiently well described in the known literature. In the case that the domain is unbounded, although the solution of the odd-order equation (1.1) is local smooth, but it may not belong to the Sobolev spaces. This fact causes some difficulties for study of (1.1).
The estimate (1.2) is very important for the study of singular nonlinear differential equations \cite{7}. The maximal regularity problem for the second-order partial differential equations were investigated by P.C. Kunstmann, W. Arendt, M. Duelli, G. Metafune, D. Pallara, J. Prüss, R. Schnaubelt, A. Rhandi \cite{7–10} in the case that their intermediate coefficients are unbounded, although they were controlled by the potential. This problem for a degenerate second-order differential operator was studied in \cite{11}.

The maximal regularity (separability) problem for a singular third-order equation has been investigated, mainly, for the following two-terms equation (see \cite{12–19} and the references therein)

\[ Ky = -y'''' + s(x)y = F(x) \quad (x \in (-\infty, +\infty)). \]  

(1.3)

In \cite{12–19} were obtained conditions for the continuous invertibility and separability of the operator \( K \) in \( L_p(R) \) \((1 < p < +\infty)\). However, we can not use their results to investigate of the equation (1.1) with unbounded intermediate coefficients. In general, in the case that the intermediate coefficients have more faster growth, the equations (1.1) and (1.3) are different. For example, the solution of (1.1) belongs to \( L_2 \) in the case only that the functions \( r \) and \( q \) satisfy some additional conditions. The question of maximum regularity for other elliptic and parabolic equations defined in infinite domains has been investigated in many papers \cite{20–35}.

In the present paper, we consider the following two cases for the intermediate coefficients \( r \) and \( q \) of (1.1):

a) the growth of the function \( r \) does not depend on \( q \) and \( s \);

b) the growth of the function \( q \) does not depend on \( r \) and \( s \).

For continuous functions \( p \) and \( v \neq 0 \), we denote

\[ \alpha_{p,v,j}(x) = \left( \int_0^x |p(t)|^2 \, dt \right)^{1 \over 2} \cdot \left( \int_x^{+\infty} t^2v^{-2}(t) \, dt \right)^{1 \over 2}, \quad x > 0, \]

\[ \beta_{p,v,j}(\tau) = \left( \int_{\tau}^{0} |p(t)|^2 \, dt \right)^{1 \over 2} \cdot \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} t^2v^{-2}(t) \, dt \right)^{1 \over 2}, \quad \tau < 0, \]

\[ \gamma_{p,v,j} = \max \left( \sup_{x > 0} \alpha_{p,v,j}(x), \sup_{\tau < 0} \beta_{p,v,j}(\tau) \right) (j = 0, 1), \]

Theorem 1.1. Assume that the functions \( r \), \( q \) and \( s \) satisfy the following conditions:

\[ r \in C^{(2)}_{\text{loc}}(R), \quad |r| \geq 1, \quad q \in C^{(1)}_{\text{loc}}(R), \quad s \in C_{\text{loc}}(R), \]

\[ \gamma_1, \sqrt{|r|}, 1 < \infty, \quad C_0^{-1} \leq r(x) \leq C_0, \quad \forall x, \eta \in R: \ |x - \eta| \leq 1, \quad C_0 > 1, \]

\[ \gamma_0, s, 0 < \infty, \quad \gamma_0, s, 1 < \infty. \]

(1.4)

(1.5)

(1.6)

Then for any right-hand side \( f \in L_2 \) there exists a unique solution \( y \) of the equation (1.1). Moreover, for \( y \) the estimate (1.2) holds.

In the theorem the growth of coefficients \( q \) and \( s \) are controlled by \( r \).

Remark 1.1. The condition \(|r| \geq 1 \) in (1.4) can be replaced by the inequality \(|r| \geq \delta > 0 \). To show this statement it is enough to put \( x = \sqrt{\delta} t \) in (1.1), where \( t \in R \).

The following equation:

\[ y'''' + (7x^2 + 3)^4 y'' + (2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1) y' + x^3 y = f_1, \quad f_1 \in L_2, \]

(1.7)

satisfies (see Example 2.1 below) the conditions of Theorem 1.1, consequently, the equation (1.7) is uniquely solvable, and for its solution \( y \) the following estimate holds:

\[ \|y''''\|_2 + \left\| \left( (7x^2 + 3)^4 y'' \right) \right\|_2 + \left\| \left( 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1 \right) y' \right\|_2 + \left\| x^3 y \right\|_2 \leq C \|f_1\|_2. \]

(1.8)

In the following theorem the growth of \( r \) and \( s \) are controlled by coefficient \( q \).

Theorem 1.2. Assume that the functions \( r \in C^{(2)}_{\text{loc}}(R), \quad q \in C^{(1)}_{\text{loc}}(R) \) and \( s \in C_{\text{loc}}(R) \) satisfy the following conditions:

\[ q \geq 1, \quad \gamma_1, s, 0 < \infty, \quad C_1^{-1} \leq \frac{q(x)}{q(\eta)} \leq C_1, \quad \forall x, \eta \in R: \ |x - \eta| \leq 1, \quad (C_1 > 1); \]

\[ \gamma_0, s, 0 < \infty; \]

\[ 2 \left( r^2 + 2r' \right) \leq q. \]

(1.9)

(1.10)

(1.11)
Then for any \( f \in L_2 \) there exists a unique solution \( y \) of the equation (1.1). Moreover, for \( y \) the estimate (1.2) holds.

**Remark 1.2.** In Theorem 1.2 the condition \( q \geq 1 \) can be replaced by \( q \geq \delta > 0 \). To show this statement it is enough to put \( x = \delta t \) in the equation (1.1).

The conditions of Theorem 1.2 satisfy the coefficients of the following equation:

\[
-y''' + x^3 \cos^2 x^2 y'' + \left[ 18(1 + x^6) \right] y' + 3x^4 y = f_2(x)
\]  
(1.12)

(see Example 3.1 below).

**2 The case that the coefficient \( r \) is growing independently**

In this section we investigate the equation (1.1) in the case that the growth of the function \( r \) does not depend on \( q \) and \( s \). First, we consider the following linear two term differential equation:

\[
l_0 y = -y''' + r(x)y'' = h(x),
\]  
(2.1)

where \( x \in R, h \in L_2, \) and \( r \in C^{(2)}_{loc}(R) \). We denote by \( l \) the closure in \( L_2 \) of the operator \( l_0 \) defined on the set of three times continuously differentiable functions with compact support \( C^{(3)}(R) \).

**Definition 2.1.** The function \( y \in L_2 \) is called a solution of the equation (2.1), if \( y \in D(l) \) and \( ty = h \).

The following statement is proved in [36].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let the function \( r \) be a twice continuously differentiable function and it satisfies the following conditions:

\[
r \geq \delta > 0, \quad \gamma_{1, \sqrt{r}, 1} < \infty,
\]

\[
C^{-1} \leq \frac{r(x)}{r(\eta)} \leq C \forall x, \eta \in R : |x - \eta| \leq 1, \quad C > 1.
\]

Then for any right — hand side \( h \in L_2 \) there exists a unique solution \( y \) of the equation (2.1). Moreover, for \( y \) the following estimate holds (i.e. \( y \) is maximally \( L_2 \)-regular):

\[
\|y''\|_2 + \|ry''\|_2 \leq C_1 \|h\|_2.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We put \( x = at \) (\( 0 > 0, \) \( t \) is new variable) in the equation (1.1). Then (1.1) become the following form:

\[
\bar{L}_a \bar{y} = \bar{y}''' + a^2 \bar{q}(t) \bar{y}''' + a^2 \bar{s}(t) \bar{r}(t) \bar{y}' = \bar{f}(t),
\]  
(2.2)

where \( y(at) = \bar{y}(t), \quad r(at) = \bar{r}(t), \quad q(at) = \bar{q}(t), \quad s(at) = \bar{s}(t), \) \( a^3 f(at) = \bar{f}(t) \) and \( a^3 \bar{L}_a \bar{y} = \bar{L}_a \bar{y} \). First, we consider the following equation:

\[
l_{0a} \tilde{y} = \bar{y}''' + a^2 \bar{r}(t) \bar{y}'' = \tilde{h}(t).
\]  
(2.3)

We denote by \( l_a \) a closure in \( L_2 \) of the operator \( l_{0a} \) defined in \( C^{(3)}(R) \). We have \( a^{-1} \tilde{r}(t) \geq \delta > 0 \). By Remark 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, for any function \( \tilde{h} \in L_2 \) there exists a unique solution \( \tilde{y} \) of the equation (2.3) and for \( \tilde{y} \) the following estimate holds:

\[
\|\bar{y}''\|_2 + \|a \bar{r} \bar{y}''\|_2 \leq C_1 \|l_{0a} \bar{y}\|_2, \forall \bar{y} \in D(l_a).
\]  
(2.4)

Using (2.4), by Theorem 1.2 in [37] and Lemma 2.1 [11], we have

\[
\|a^2 \bar{q} \bar{y}''\|_2 \leq 2a^2 \gamma_{\bar{q}, \bar{r}, 1} C_{l_a} \|l_{0a} \bar{y}\|_2
\]  
(2.5)

and

\[
\|a^3 \bar{s} \bar{y}''\|_2 \leq 2a^2 \gamma_{\bar{s}, \bar{r}, 1} C_{l_a} \|l_{0a} \bar{y}\|_2.
\]  
(2.6)

If we choose

\[
a = [2 (\gamma_{\bar{q}, \bar{r}, 1} + a^2 \gamma_{\bar{s}, \bar{r}, 1} C_{l_a} + 1)]^{-1},
\]

then, by (2.5) and (2.6), the following estimate holds:

\[
\|a^2 \bar{q} \bar{y}''\|_2 + \|a^3 \bar{s} \bar{y}''\|_2 \leq \theta \|l_{0a} \bar{y}\|_2,
\]  
(2.7)
where 

\[ 0 < \theta = \frac{2(\gamma \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, 0 + \gamma \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, 1) C_{1,1}}{2(\gamma \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, 0 + \gamma \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, 1) C_{1,1} + 1} < 1. \]

Then by Lemma 2.1 and the well-known perturbation theorem [38; 196], there exists a unique solution of the equation (2.2).

Now, we show the maximal \( L_2 \)-regularity estimate for a solution of the equation (2.2). By (2.7),

\[ \| L_0 \tilde{y} \|_2 \leq \| L_0 \tilde{y} \|_2 + \theta \| L_0 \tilde{y} \|_2 \]

(0 < \alpha < 1). Consequently

\[ \| L_0 \tilde{y} \|_2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \theta} \| L_0 \tilde{y} \|_2. \] (2.8)

By the estimates (2.4), (2.7) and (2.8),

\[ \| \tilde{y}'' \|_2 + \| \tilde{y}'' \|_2 + \| \tilde{y}'' \|_2 \leq \frac{C_{1,1} + \theta}{1 - \theta} \| L_0 \tilde{y} \|_2. \]

(2.9) is the desired estimate for a solution \( \tilde{y} \) of the equation (2.2). By replacing \( t = \frac{x}{2} \), we get that there exists a unique solution \( y \) of the equation (1.1), moreover, for it the estimate (1.8) holds.

**Example 2.1.** We consider the following equation

\[ -\tilde{y}'''' - (7x^2 + 3)^4 \tilde{y}'' + (2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1) \tilde{y}' + x^3 \tilde{y} = f_1(x), \]

where \( x \in R \), \( f_1(x) \in L_2 \). Here, \( r = (7x^2 + 3)^4, q = 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1 \) and \( s = x^3 \). The intermediate coefficients \( r, q \) satisfy conditions (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6) of Theorem 1.1. In fact, since the function \( (7x^2 + 3)^4 \) is even, for any \( x > 0 \)

\[ \alpha_{1, \sqrt{r}, 1}(-x) = \beta_{1, \sqrt{r}, 1}(-x) \leq \sqrt{x} \left( \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{(7t^2 + 3)^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{x} \left( \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{(7t^2 + 3)^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \]

Analogously, we obtain

\[ \alpha_{q, r, 0}(-x) \leq \left( \int_0^x 3 \left( 4t^6 + 9t^4 + 1 \right) \frac{dt}{(7t^2 + 3)^8} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \int_0^x \frac{dt}{(7t^2 + 3)^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \]

and

\[ \alpha_{s, r, 1}(-x) \leq \left( \int_0^x |t| \frac{dt}{(7t^2 + 3)^8} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_x^{+\infty} t^2 (7t^2 + 3)^{-8} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \]

For any \( x, \eta \in R \) such that \( |x - \eta| \leq 1 \)

\[ \frac{(7x^2 + 3)^4}{(7\eta^2 + 3)^4} \leq \frac{6 (7\eta^2 + 3)^4}{(7\eta^2 + 3)^4} = 1296. \]

So, by Theorem 1.1, for any \( f_1 \in L_2 \) there exists a unique solution \( y \) of the equation (1.7) and for it the estimate (1.8) holds.
3 The case that the coefficient $q$ is growing independently

In this section we consider the equation (1.1) in the case that the function $q$ is fast growing function. First, we consider the following differential equation:

$$\tilde{I}_0y = -y'' + q(x)y' = u(x), \quad x \in R, \quad u \in L_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

We denote by $\tilde{I}$ a closed in $L_2$ of the operator $\tilde{I}_0y = -y'' + q(x)y'$ defined in $C_0^{(3)}(R)$. The element $y \in D(\tilde{I})$ such as $\tilde{I}y = u$, is called a solution of the equation (3.1).

**Lemma 3.1.** If $q(x)$ is continuously differentiable function such as

$$q \geq 1, \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} < \infty,$$

then for any $u \in L_2$ there exists a unique solution $y$ of the equation (3.1). Moreover, for $y$ the following estimate holds:

$$\|\sqrt{q}y\|_2 + \|y\|_2 \leq C \|\tilde{I}y\|_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

**Proof.** Let $y \in C_0^{(3)}(R)$. Integrating by parts, we have

$$(\tilde{I}_0y, y') = \|y''\|_2 + \|\sqrt{q}y'\|_2^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

Taking into account the condition (3.2), by the Holder inequality, we obtain

$$\left| (\tilde{I}_0y, y') \right| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \tilde{I}_0y \right\|_2 \|\sqrt{q}y'\|_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

Then by (3.2) and (3.4),

$$\|y\|_2 \leq 2 \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} \|\sqrt{q}y'\|_2 \leq 2 \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \tilde{I}_0y \right\|_2,$$

and

$$\|y\|_2 + \|\sqrt{q}y'\|_2 \leq [2 \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} + 1] \left\| \tilde{I}_0y \right\|_2, \quad y \in C_0^{(3)}(R).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Further, we show that the estimate (3.5) holds for any $y \in D(\tilde{I})$. Let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_0^{(3)}(R)$ such sequence that

$$\|y_n - y\|_2 \to 0, \left\| \tilde{I}_0y_n - \tilde{I}y \right\|_2 \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

By (3.5), for any $y_n, y_m \in C_0^{(3)}(R)$

$$\|y_n\|_2 + \|\sqrt{q}y'_n\|_2 \leq [2 \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} + 1] \left\| \tilde{I}_0y_n \right\|_2,$$

and

$$\|y_n - y_m\|_2 + \|\sqrt{q}(y_n' - y_m')\|_2 \leq [2 \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} + 1] \left\| \tilde{I}_0y_n - \tilde{I}_0y_m \right\|_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

We denote by $W_{1,\sqrt{\gamma}}(R)$ the completion of $C_0^{(3)}(R)$ with respect to the norm $\|y\|_W = \|\sqrt{q}y'\|_2 + \|y\|_2$. According to (3.8), $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1,\sqrt{\gamma}}(R)$. $W_{1,\sqrt{\gamma}}(R)$ is a Banach space, therefore there exists an element $z$ such as $\|y_n - z\|_W \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty)$. Then by (3.6), $z \in D(\tilde{I})$, furthermore, $z$ is a solution of (3.1).

Passing to the limit at $n \to \infty$ in (3.7), we obtain the inequality (3.3) for $z$ with $C = 2 \gamma_1, \sqrt{\gamma_0} + 1$.

By (3.3) and Definition 2.1, there exists the inverse $l^{-1}$ to the operator $\tilde{l}$. So, a solution of the equation (3.1) is unique.

We show, that for any $u \in L_2$ there exists a solution of the equation (3.1). By Definition 2.1, it is sufficient to prove that $R(\tilde{I}) = L_2$. Assume the contrary, let $R(\tilde{I}) \neq L_2$. Then there exists the non-zero element $z(x) \in R(\tilde{I})^\perp$: $(\tilde{I}y, z) = 0$ for any $y \in C_0^{(3)}(R)$. On the other hand

$$(\tilde{I}y, z) = \int_R y \left( z'' - [q(x)z'] \right) dx, \quad \forall y \in C_0^{(3)}(R).$$
As mentioned above, from (3.9), taking into account that \( q(x) \in C_{\text{loc}}^{(1)}(R) \), we have that \( z(x) \in C_{\text{loc}}^{(3)}(R) \). We consider two cases with respect to \( C_1 \).

1. \( C_1 \neq 0 \). Then, we can assume, that \( C_1 = 1 \):

\[
z'' - q(x)z = 1, \quad x \in R.
\]

The general solution \( z \) of this equation belongs to \( C_{\text{loc}}^{(3)}(R) \) and is represented in the following form:

\[
z(x) = C_2 z_1(x) + C_3 z_2(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(x,t) dt,
\]

where \( z_1(x) \) and \( z_2(x) \) are two linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation \( z'' - q(x)z = 0 \) and

\[
G(x,t) = \begin{cases} 
z_1(x) z_2(t), & x \leq t, \\
z_2(x) z_1(t), & x > t
\end{cases}
\]

is the Green function of the Sturm-Liouville operator. It is known that \( C \) is the Green function of the Sturm-Liouville operator. It is known that \( z_1(x) > 0 \) and \( z_2(x) > 0 \). By well-known comparison theorem and maximum principle, for any \( x \in R \) the following estimates hold:

\[
\begin{cases}
z_1(x) \geq K^{-1}e^x, & 0 < z_2 \leq Ke^x, \quad x > 0, \\
z_2(x) \geq K^{-1}e^x, & 0 < z_1 \leq Ke^x, \quad x < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

hence \( 0 < G(x,t) \leq C_4 e^{-|x-t|} \). By condition \( z \in L_2 \), we obtain \( C_2 = 0 \) and \( C_3 = 0 \). So,

\[
z(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(x,t) dt > 0.
\]

By (3.10), \( z'' = 1 + q(x)z \geq 1 \). Let \( a \in R \) such as \( z(a) = k > 0 \) and \( z'(a) = m > 0 \). By (3.2) and (3.10),

\[
z(x) - k = m(x-a) + \frac{(x-a)^2}{2} + \int_{a}^{x} \left( \int_{a}^{1} qz(s) ds \right) dt \geq \frac{(x-a)^2}{2} \quad \forall x > a.
\]

So, \( z \notin L_2 \).

2. Let \( C_1 = 0 \). Then the solution \( z \) of the equation (3.9) is represented as follows:

\[
z(x) = C_4 z_1(x) + C_5 z_2(x), \quad x \in R.
\]

As mentioned above, \( z_1(x) \to +\infty, \quad z_2(x) \to 0 \) \( (x \to +\infty) \), and \( z_2(x) \to +\infty, \quad z_1(x) \to 0 \) \( (x \to -\infty) \). We have \( C_4 = 0 \) and \( C_5 = 0 \). So \( z(x) \equiv 0, \quad x \in R \).

We have obtained contradictions, which show that \( R(\mathcal{I}) = L_2 \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that the function \( q \) satisfies conditions of Lemma 3.1 and

\[
C_0^{-1} \leq \frac{q(x)}{q(\eta)} \leq C_0 \quad \forall x, \eta \in R : |x - \eta| \leq 1 \quad (C_0 > 1).
\]

Then for the solution \( y \) of the equation (3.1) the following estimate holds:

\[
\|y''\|_2 + \|y'\|_2 \leq C \|\bar{y}\|_2.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( y \) be a solution of the equation (3.1). By (3.3), \( y' \in L_2 \). Assume, that \( y' = z \), then we obtain the following Sturm-Liouville equation:

\[
\Delta z = -z'' + q(x)z = \bar{u}(x).
\]

By conditions of Lemma, the solution \( z \) of the last equation satisfies the following estimate [39; 199]:

\[
\|z''\|_2 + \|qz\|_2 \leq C \|\bar{y}\|_2.
\]
Then for the solution $y$ of (3.1), we obtain the estimate (3.12).

Next, we will consider the following equation

$$y'' + q(x)y' + s(x)y = u_0(x).$$

(3.13)

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $q(x)$ be a continuously differentiable function, and $s(x)$ be a continuous function. Assume that the conditions (3.2) and (3.11) and the following condition

$$\gamma_{s,q,0} < \infty$$

hold. Then for any $u_0 \in L_2$ there exists the unique solution $y$ of the equation (3.14). Moreover, $y$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$\|y''''\|_2 + \|qy'\|_2 + \|sy\|_2 \leq C \|u\|_2.$$  

(3.15)

**Proof.** In (3.13) we put $x = at$, where $a > 0$ and $t \in R$. Then

$$a^3\tilde{t}y = -y''''(at) + a^2q(at)y'(at) + a^3s(at)y(at) = a^3u(at).$$

If we introduce the notations

$$y(at) = \tilde{y}(t), \quad q(at) = \bar{q}(t), \quad s(at) = \bar{s}(t), \quad a^3u(at) = \tilde{u}(t)$$

then (3.13) become the following form:

$$\tilde{t}\tilde{y} = -\tilde{y}'''' + a^2\bar{q}\tilde{y}' + a^3\bar{s}\tilde{y} = \tilde{a}.$$

(3.16)

We denote by $\tilde{L}_a$ a closure in $L_2$ of the differential expression $\tilde{t}_0\tilde{y} = -\tilde{y}'''' + a^2\bar{q}\tilde{y}'$ defined on $C^2_0(R)$. Since $a^2\bar{q}(t) \geq \delta > 0$, by Lemma 3.1 and Remark 1.1, the operator $\tilde{L}_a$ is continuously invertible and the following estimate holds:

$$\|\tilde{y}''''\|_2 + \|a^2\bar{q}\tilde{y}'\|_2 \leq C_{\tilde{L}_a} \|\tilde{t}\tilde{y}\|_2, \quad \forall \tilde{y} \in D(\tilde{L}_a).$$

(3.17)

Taking into account the condition (3.14) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|a^3\bar{s}\tilde{y}\|_2 \leq a^{-1}\gamma_{s,q,0}C_{\tilde{L}_a} \|\tilde{t}\tilde{y}\|_2.$$  

(3.18)

By (3.16), $\tilde{t} = \tilde{L}_a + a^3\tilde{s}E$. Choosing the number $a$ such as $a = 2C_{\tilde{L}_a}(1 + \gamma_{s,q,0})$, we obtain

$$\|a^3\bar{s}\tilde{y}\|_2 \leq a \|\tilde{L}_a\|_2, \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$  

(3.19)

Then, by the well-known perturbation theorem (for example, see Theorem 1.16 [38; 196]), there exists the inverse operator $\left(\tilde{L}_a + a^3\tilde{s}E\right)^{-1}$ and the equality $R\left(\tilde{L}_a + a^3\tilde{s}E\right) = L_2$ is true. By estimates (3.17) and (3.18),

$$\|\tilde{y}''''\|_2 + \|a^2\bar{q}\tilde{y}'\|_2 + \|a^3\bar{s}\tilde{y}\|_2 \leq \left(C_{\tilde{L}_a} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \|\tilde{L}_a\|_2.$$  

(3.20)

On the other hand, by (3.18),

$$\|\tilde{L}_a\|_2 \leq \left\|\left(\tilde{L}_a + a^3\tilde{s}E\right)\tilde{y}\right\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{L}_a\|_2,$$

i.e.

$$\|\tilde{L}_a\|_2 \leq 2 \left\|\left(\tilde{L}_a + a^3\tilde{s}E\right)\tilde{y}\right\|_2.$$  

(3.21)

The estimates (3.19) and (3.20) imply

$$\|\tilde{L}_a\|_2 \leq \|\tilde{L}_a\|_2 \leq C \|\tilde{u}\|_2, \quad C = 2 \left(C_{\tilde{L}_a} + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$  

By replacing $t = a^{-1}x$, we obtain the estimate (3.15).
Proof of Theorem 1.2. If the conditions (1.9) and (1.10) hold, then by Lemma 3.3, the operator
\[ \tilde{I}y = -y'' + q(x) y' + s(x) y \]
is continuously invertible, and for any \( y \in D(\tilde{I}) \) the following estimate holds:
\[ \|y''\|_2 + \|qy'\|_2 + \|sy\|_2 \leq C_1 \|\tilde{I}y\|_2. \]  
(3.21)

Taking into account the condition (1.11), for any \( y \in C_0^2(R) \) we obtain
\[ \|ry''\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|y''\|_2 + \|2r'y'\|_2 + \frac{1}{4} \|ry''\|_2. \]

Then, by (3.15),
\[ \|ry''\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{3} \left( \|y''\|_2 + \|2(r^2 + 2r'y')\|_2 + \|sy\|_2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \|\tilde{I}y\|_2. \]

It is clear that this inequality holds for any \( y \in D(\tilde{I}) \). Then by Theorem 1.16 [38: 196] the operator \( Ly = \tilde{I}y + ry'' \) is closed and invertible, and its inverse \( L^{-1} \) is defined in all of \( L_2 \). By (3.22), for any \( y \in C_0^2(R) \)
\[ \|\tilde{I}y\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3} - 1} \|Ly\|_2. \]

Then, by (3.21) and (3.22), for any \( y \in C_0^2(R) \) holds the estimate (1.2), where \( C_L = \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}C_1}{\sqrt{3} - 1} \). Taking into account that the operator \( L \) is closed, we obtain that the last estimate holds for a solution of the equation (1.1).

Example 3.1. We consider the following equation
\[ -y'' + x^3 \cos^2 x^2 y'' + \left[ 18(1 + x^6) \right] y' + 3x^4 y = f_2(x), \quad x \in R, \quad f_2(x) \in L_2. \]
The coefficients \( r = x^3 \cos^2 x^2, q = 18(1 + x^6) \) and \( s = 3x^4 \) of this equation satisfy all of the conditions of Theorem 1.2. In fact,
\[ \alpha_{1, \sqrt{7}, 0} (x) = \beta_{1, \sqrt{7}, 0} (x) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left( \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{18(t^6 + 1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \quad x > 0. \]

For any \( x, \eta \in R \) such that \( |x - \eta| \leq 1 \), we obtain
\[ \frac{18(1 + x^6)}{18(1 + \eta^6)} \leq \frac{1 + (1 + \eta)^6}{1 + \eta^6} < \infty. \]

Further,
\[ \alpha_{s, q, 0} (x) = \beta_{s, q, 0} (x) \leq x^2 \left( \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{18^2(t^6 + 1)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \quad x > 0. \]

So, by Theorem 1.2, for any \( f_2 \in L_2 \) there exists the unique solution \( y \) of the equation (1.12), and for \( y \) the following estimate holds:
\[ \|y''\|_2 + \|x^3 \cos^2 x^2 y''\|_2 + \left[ 18(1 + x^6) \right] y'\|_2 + \|3x^4 y\|_2 \leq C\|f_2\|_2. \]
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Аралык коэффициенттери шенелмegen ушінше ретті дифференциалдық тендеудің коэрцитивті шешілу шарттары

Макалада келесі тендеу каратырылған: \(-y''' + r(x)y'' + q(x)y' + s(x)y = f(x)\), мұндагы \(r\) және \(q\) — аралық коэффициенттер, \(s\)-ка баянбайды. Осы тендеуді \(f \in L_2(-\infty, +\infty)\) ушін коэрцитивті шешілу шарттары келтирілген. Және \(y\) шешім ушін келесі максималды регулярлы, біліктену теоремасы қолданылды. Осындай квазилинейді дифференциалдық тендеуде коэрцитивті норма \(C\) бұлғанда, \(r\) және \(q\) - аралық коэффициенттер, \(s\)-ға бағытталды.

Условия коэрцитивной разрешимости дифференциального уравнения третьего порядка с неограниченными промежуточными коэффициентами

В статье рассмотрено уравнение: \(-y''' + r(x)y'' + q(x)y' + s(x)y = f(x)\), в котором промежуточные коэффициенты \(r\) и \(q\) не зависят от \(s\). Приведены условия коэрцитивной разрешимости этого уравнения для \(f \in L_2(-\infty, +\infty)\). Для решения \(y\) получена следующая оценка максимальной регулярности: \(\|y'''\|_2 + \|ry''\|_2 + \|qy'\|_2 + \|sy\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_2\), где \(\|\cdot\|_2\) — норма в \(L_2(-\infty, +\infty)\). Эта оценка важна для изучения квазилинейного дифференциального уравнения третьего порядка в \((-\infty, +\infty)\). Исследованы некоторые двучленные выраженные дифференциальные уравнения и доказано, что они являются коэрцитивно разрешимыми. Здесь применен метод теории разделимости дифференциальных операторов в гильбертовом пространстве, разработанный М. Отелбаевым. С помощью этих вспомогательных утверждений и некоторых известных весовых интегральных неравенств типа Харди получены желаемые результаты. В отличие от предварительных результатов, авторы предполагают, что потенциал \(s\) является строго положительным, результаты также справедливы в случае, когда \(s = 0\).

Ключевые слова: дифференциальное уравнение, неограниченные коэффициенты, максимальная регулярность, разделимость.
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