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On one problem for restoring the density of sources of the fractional heat conductivity process with respect to initial and final temperatures

In this paper we consider inverse problems for a fractional heat equation, where the fractional time derivative is taken into account in Riemann–Liouville sense. For the solution of this equation, we have to find an unknown right-hand side depending only on a spatial variable. The problem modeling the process of determining the temperature and density of sources in the process of fractional heat conductivity with respect to given initial and final temperatures is considered. Problems with general boundary conditions with respect to the spatial variable that are not strongly regular are investigated. The existence and uniqueness of classical solution to the problem are proved. The problem is considered independent from a corresponding spectral problem for an operator of multiple differentiation with not strongly regular boundary conditions has the basis property of root functions.
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1 Introduction

It is well-known that problems of determining coefficients or the right-hand side of a differential equation simultaneously with its solution are called inverse problems of mathematical physics. These problems often arise in various areas (seismology, exploration of minerals, biology, medicine, quality control of industrial products etc.) that place them among the current problems of modern mathematics.

In this article, we consider a class of problems which model the process of determining the temperature and density of heat sources with respect to given initial and final temperatures. Their mathematical statement leads to the inverse problems for a fractional heat equation in which along with solving the equation we have to find an unknown right-hand side depending only on a spatial variable.

The questions of solvability of various inverse problems for parabolic equations were studied in many articles. The closest to the subject of this paper is [1], in which one case of regular but not strongly regular boundary conditions was considered. The analysis was carried out by the Fourier method using a basis of eigenfunctions and associated functions. In contrast to this (and other) article, we study the inverse problems for the fractional heat equation with general boundary conditions with respect to the spatial variable which are regular but not strongly regular.

Let \( \Omega = \{(x,t),\ 0 < x < 1,\ 0 < t < T\} \). In \( \Omega \) we consider a problem of finding the right-hand side \( f(x) \) of the fractional heat equation

\[
D_{0+}^\alpha (u(x,t) - u(x,0)) - u_{xx}(x,t) = f(x) + F(x,t), \ (x,t) \in \Omega
\]

and its solutions \( u(x,t) \) satisfying the initial and final conditions

\[
u(x,0) = \varphi(x),\ u(x,T) = \psi(x), \ 0 \leq x \leq 1,
\]

and the boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 u_x(0,t) + b_1 u_x(1,t) + a_0 u(0,t) + b_0 u(1,t) &= 0; \\
c_1 u_x(0,t) + d_1 u_x(1,t) + c_0 u(0,t) + d_0 u(1,t) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
The coefficients $a_k$, $b_k$, $c_k$, $d_k$ with $k = 0, 1$ in (3) are real numbers, $D_{0+}^\alpha$ stands for the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$:

$$D_{0+}^\alpha y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{y(s)ds}{(t-s)^\alpha},$$

while $\varphi(x)$, $\psi(x)$ and $F(x,t)$ are given functions.

**Definition.** By a regular solution of the inverse problem (1)–(3) we mean a pair of functions $(u(x,t), f(x))$ of the class $u(x,t) \in C^2_{x,t} (\Omega)$, $f(x) \in C[0,1]$ that inverts equation (1) and conditions (2)–(3) into an identity.

The use of the Fourier method for solving problem (1)–(3) leads to the spectral problem for the operator $\ell$ given by the differential expression $\ell(y) = -y''(x)$, $0 < x < 1$ and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
  a_1 y'(0) + b_1 y'(1) + a_0 y(0) + b_0 y(1) = 0; \\
  c_1 y'(0) + d_1 y'(1) + c_0 y(0) + d_0 y(1) = 0.
\end{cases} \quad (4)$$

These boundary conditions are called regular [2] if one of the following three conditions

$$i. \quad a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1 \neq 0;$$

$$ii. \quad a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1 = 0, \quad |a_1| + |b_1| > 0, \quad a_1 d_0 + b_1 c_0 \neq 0;$$

$$iii. \quad a_1 = b_1 = c_1 = d_1 = 0, \quad a_0 d_0 - b_0 c_0 \neq 0$$

is satisfied. Regular boundary conditions are strongly regular in the first and third cases, while in the second case this requires the additional condition

$$a_1 c_0 + b_1 d_0 \neq \pm [a_1 d_0 + b_1 c_0]. \quad (6)$$

Particular cases of (1)–(3) were considered in [1] with boundary conditions (3) which are not strongly regular: the case of conditions of Samarskii–Ionkin type

$$u(1,t) = 0, \quad u_x(0,t) = u_x(1,t)$$

and the case of periodic boundary conditions

$$u(0,t) = u(1,t), \quad u_x(0,t) = u_x(1,t).$$

However, the method of proof of [1] does not automatically carry over to problems with arbitrary not strongly regular boundary conditions (3). This has essentially to do with the use in [1] of a basis of eigenfunctions and generalized eigenfunctions of the corresponding problem (4) for the operator of multiple differentiation. Unfortunately, not all problems of this type have the basis property. Therefore, in order to study the formulated problem, regardless of the basis properties of the system of root vectors of the operator $\ell$, we use the method first substantiated in our work [3]. In [3] a class of problems modeling the process of determining the temperature and density of heat sources with respect to given initial and final temperature is considered. To solve direct heat conductivity problems with general not strongly regular boundary conditions with respect to the spatial variable, this method is described in detail in [4].


These citations can be seen in our papers [3] and [5]. We note [6–28] as recent papers close to the theme of our article. In these papers different variants of direct and inverse initial-boundary value problems for evolutionary equations are considered, including problems with nonlocal boundary conditions and problems for equations with fractional derivatives.

We solve the problem by the Fourier method. Some new variants for solving nonlocal boundary value problems by the method of separation of variables were used in our papers [29–35].
2 Case of Sturm-type boundary conditions

A particular case of strongly regular boundary conditions are Sturm-type conditions: \( b_0 = b_1 = c_0 = c_1 = 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_1 u_x (0, t) + a_0 u (0, t) &= 0; \\
  d_1 u_x (1, t) + d_0 u (1, t) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

By \( \ell_1 \) let us denote a corresponding ordinary differential operator arising when applying the method of separation of variables to problem (1), (2), (7). Spectral problem \( \ell_1 y = \lambda y \) has the form

\[
\ell_1 (y) \equiv -y'' (x) = \lambda y (x), \quad 0 < x < 1;
\]

(8)

\[
a_1 y (0) + a_0 y (0) = 0, \quad d_1 y (1) + d_0 y (1) = 0.
\]

Denote by \( \lambda_k \) the eigenvalues of the operator \( \ell_1 \) enumerated in the increasing order of their absolute values, and by \( y_k (x) \), for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \), denote corresponding normalized eigenfunctions. It is known [2] that the eigenvalues of these problems are real and simple, while the system of their eigenfunctions forms an orthonormal basis in \( L_2 (0, 1) \). Thus, we can represent the solution \( u (x, t), f (x) \) to (1), (2), (7) as the series:

\[
u (x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k (t) y_k (x), \quad f (x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k y_k (x).
\]

(9)

Substituting (9) into (1) and (2), we obtain the problems

\[
D_{0+}^\alpha (u_k (t) - u_k (0)) + \lambda_k u_k (t) = f_k + F_k (t), \quad u_k (0) = \varphi_k, \quad u_k (T) = \psi_k
\]

for finding the unknown functions \( u_k (t) \) and coefficients \( f_k \). Here \( F_k (t) \), \( \varphi_k \) and \( \psi_k \) are the Fourier coefficients of \( F (x, t) \), \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) with respect to the system \( \{ y_k (x) \} \). Then we get

\[
F_k (t) = (F (x, t), y_k (x)), \quad \varphi_k = (\varphi (x), y_k (x)), \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k = (\psi (x), y_k (x)).
\]

The inverse problem (10) is investigated similarly, as in [1]. A solution to (10) exists, is unique, and can be written explicitly. Without dwelling on the details, we write out its solution:

\[
u_k = \frac{\psi_k - U_k (T) - \varphi_k e_\alpha (T, \lambda_k)}{\gamma_k} \int_0^T (T - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e_\alpha (\tau, \lambda_k) d\tau + \varphi_k e_\alpha (t, \lambda_k) + U_k (t),
\]

(11)

\[
f_k = \Gamma (1 + \alpha) \frac{\psi_k - U_k (T) - \varphi_k e_\alpha (T, \lambda_k)}{\alpha \gamma_k},
\]

(12)

where \( U_k (t) \) is a solution of problem

\[
D_{0+}^\alpha (U_k (t) - U_k (0)) + \lambda_k U_k (t) = F_k (t), \quad U_k (0) = 0.
\]

In (11) and (12) function \( e_\alpha (\tau, \mu) \) is expressed by the function of Mittag–Leffler:

\[
e_\alpha (\tau, \mu) := E_\alpha (-\mu \tau^\alpha), \quad E_\alpha (z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma (1 + \alpha k)}, \quad \alpha \in [0, +\infty),
\]

\[
\gamma_k = \int_0^T (T - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e_\alpha (\tau, \lambda_k) d\tau.
\]

(13)

The Mittag–Leffler function \( e_\alpha (\tau, \mu) \) for \( \mu > 0 \) and \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) is absolutely monotone function with respect to \( \tau \) (see [36; 268]). Since \( e_0 (0, \lambda_k) = 1 \), then from (13) it is easy to see that there exists a constant \( \hat{\gamma} > 0 \) such that

\[
\gamma_k \geq \hat{\gamma} > 0, \quad \forall \ k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(14)

Inserting (11) and (12) into (9), we arrive at a formal solution to the problem. In order to complete our study, it is necessary, as in the Fourier method, to justify the smoothness of the resulting formal solutions and the convergence of all appearing series. Let us state the main result of this section.
Theorem 1. If \( F(x,t) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \), \( \varphi(x) \), \( \psi(x) \in C^4[0,1] \) and functions \( F(x,t), \varphi(x), \psi(x) \) and \( \psi''(x) \) satisfy (7), then there exists a unique classical solution \( u(x,t) \in C^{2,1}_x (\Omega), f(x) \in C[0,1] \) to the inverse problem (1), (2), (7).

Proof. Since \( \psi''(x) \), \( \psi''(x) \in C^2[0,1] \) and satisfy (7), by Steklov’s theorem \([37; 41]\) they admit expansions into absolutely and uniformly converging Fourier series in the eigenfunctions \( \{y_k(x)\} \).

Thus, the series
\[
\varphi''(x) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varphi y_k(x), \quad \psi''(x) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \psi y_k(x)
\]
(15)
converges absolutely and uniformly.

From (11), (12), taking into account (14), since
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_k = +\infty, \quad |c_\alpha(T, \lambda_k)| \leq M_1, \quad |c_\alpha(t, \lambda_k)| \leq M_2,
\]
it is easy to get uniform estimates with respect to \( k \)
\[
|u_k(t)| \leq C (|\varphi_k| + |\psi_k| + |U_k(t)|),
\]
\[
|D^\alpha_{0+} u_k(t)| \leq C (|\varphi_k| + |\psi_k| + |U_k(t)|) |\lambda_k|,
\]
\[
|f_k| \leq C (|\varphi_k| + |\psi_k| + |U_k(T)|).
\]
Hence, from the uniform and absolute convergence of series (15) there follow the convergence of series (9) and the belonging of the solution of (1), (2), (7) to the classes \( u(x,t) \in C^{2,1}_x (\Omega), f(x) \in C[0,1] \).

Let us prove the uniqueness of the solution. Suppose that there are two generalized solutions of the inverse problem (1), (2), (7): \( (u_1(x,t), f_1(x)) \) and \( (u_2(x,t), f_2(x)) \). Denote
\[
u(x,t) = u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t), \quad f(x) = f_1(x) - f_2(x).
\]
Then the functions \( (u(x,t), f(x)) \) satisfy equation (1), the boundary conditions (7) and the homogeneous conditions (2):
\[
u(x,0) = 0, \quad \nu(x,T) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.
\]
(16)

Let us show that the inverse problem (1), (7), (16) has only zero solution. Let us introduce notations
\[
u_k(t) = \int_0^1 u(x,t)y_k(x)dx, \quad f_k = \int_0^1 f(x)y_k(x)dx, \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]
(17)
We apply the operator \( D^\alpha_{0+} \) to \( u_k(t) \). Then, using equation (1), by integrating by parts, we obtain a problem given by the equation
\[
D^\alpha_{0+} u_k(t) + \lambda_k u_k(t) = f_k,
\]
(18)
and the boundary conditions
\[
u_k(0) = 0, \quad \nu_k(T) = 0.
\]
(19)
General solution of equation (18) has the form (see [1], Eq. (25)):
\[
u_k(t) = \frac{f_k \alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e_\alpha(\tau, \lambda_k)d\tau + u_k(0) e_\alpha(t, \lambda_k).
\]
Using the first of conditions (19), from here we have
\[
u_k(t) = \frac{f_k \alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e_\alpha(\tau, \lambda_k)d\tau.
\]
(20)
Substituting this into the second condition of (19), we get
\[
\frac{f_k \alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \int_0^T (T - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e_\alpha(\tau, \lambda_k)d\tau = 0.
\]
(21)
Since for \( \mu > 0 \) and \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) the function \( e_\alpha(\tau, \mu) \) is absolutely monotone with respect to \( \tau \) [36] and since \( e_\alpha(0, \lambda_k) = 1 \), then the integral in (21) is a strictly positive value. Consequently equation (21) holds if and only if \( f_k \equiv 0 \). But then from (20) we get \( u_k(t) \equiv 0 \).

Therefore, using this result, from (17) we find

\[
\int_0^1 u(x,t)y_k(x) \, dx \equiv 0, \quad \int_0^1 f(x)y_k(x) \, dx = 0, \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

Further, by the completeness of system \( \{y_k(x)\} \) in \( L_2(0,1) \) we obtain \( u(x,t) \equiv 0 \) and \( f(x) \equiv 0 \) for all \( (x,t) \in \Omega \). The uniqueness of the generalized solution of the inverse problem (1), (2), (7) is proved. Theorem 1 is completely proved.

3 Regular, but not strongly regular boundary conditions

In [3] a class of regular but not strongly regular boundary conditions was described in a convenient form.

Lemma 1 [3]. If the boundary conditions (4) are regular but not strongly regular then the boundary conditions (3) reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
 a_1u_x(0,t) + b_1u_x(1,t) + a_0u(0,t) + b_0u(1,t) = 0; \\
 c_0u(0,t) + d_0u(1,t) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

of one of the following four types:

\[
\begin{align*}
I. \quad & a_1 + b_1 = 0, \quad c_0 - d_0 \neq 0; \\
II. \quad & a_1 - b_1 = 0, \quad c_0 + d_0 \neq 0; \\
III. \quad & c_0 + d_0 = 0, \quad a_1 - b_1 \neq 0; \\
IV. \quad & c_0 - d_0 = 0, \quad a_1 + b_1 \neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Also in [4] the following result was proved.

Lemma 2 [4]. We can always equivalently reduce the solution of the problem (1)–(3) in the case of regular but not strongly regular conditions to solve successively two problems with strongly regular Sturm boundary conditions.

Using Lemma 2, we can obtain the existence of the solution of (1)–(3), as well as its uniqueness and smoothness, from Theorem 1 for the corresponding problems with strongly regular Sturm-type boundary conditions. In the next four sections, we will outline this method in more detail.

The method of solution, consisting in reducing the initial problem to a sequential solution of two initial-boundary value problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to a spatial variable, will be formulated separately for each of types mentioned in Lemma 1.

4 Reduction of the problem of type I to a sequential solution of two problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type

Consider a problem of type I. Since \( a_1 + b_1 = 0 \), and here with \( |a_1| + |b_1| > 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( a_1 = -b_1 = 1 \). Since \( c_0 - d_0 \neq 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( c_0 - d_0 = -1 \). To simplify writing (omitting additional indexes) we denote \( c_0 = c \). Then \( d_0 = 1 + c \).

Therefore the problem of type I can be formulated in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
u_x(0,t) - \nu_x(1,t) + au(0,t) + bu(1,t) = 0, \\
 cu(0,t) + (1 + c) u(1,t) = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Here the coefficients \( a, b, c \) of the boundary condition are arbitrary complex numbers.

To solve the problem we introduce the auxiliary functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
v(x,t) &= [u(x,t) + u(1 - x,t)]/2, \\
w(x,t) &= u(x,t) - [1 - (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)]v(x,t).
\end{align*}
\]
Note that if the solution has been searched in the form of the sum of even and odd parts \( u(x,t) = C(x,t) + S(x,t) \) in the initial version of the method (see [3]), then now in a variant suggested by us:
- the function \( v(x,t) \) is even on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and is the even part of the function \( u(x,t) \);
- and the function \( w(x,t) \) is not the odd part of the function \( u(x,t) \), though it is the odd function.

The last follows from the fact that \( w(x,t) \) can be represented in the form
\[
w(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}[u(x,t) - u(1-x,t)] + (1+2c)(2x-1)v(x,t),
\]
that is, in the form of the sum of the odd part \( \frac{1}{2}[u(x,t) - u(1-x,t)] \) of the function \( u(x,t) \) and of the summand \( (1+2c)(2x-1)v(x,t) \), which (it is easy to verify) is also the odd function on the whole interval \( 0 < x < 1 \).

From (26) it is easy to see that if we find the functions \( v(x,t) \) and \( w(x,t) \), then the solution of the initial problem can be reestablished by the formula
\[
u(x,t) = w(x,t) + [1-(1+2c)(2x-1)]v(x,t).
\]

Thus, if in the previous variant the solution is represented in the form of the sum of even and odd parts of the solution, then in the new variant suggested by us it is not quite so. In representation (28) the first summand is even on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and the second summand is neither even, nor odd for \( 1+2c \neq 0 \).

It is easy to make sure that the functions \( v(x,t) \) and \( w(x,t) \) are solutions of the fractional heat equations, satisfy the initial and homogeneous boundary conditions in \( \Omega \).

For the function \( v(x,t) \) we obtain the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve first:
\[
D^\alpha_{0+}(v(x,t) - v(x,0) - v_{xx}(x,t)) = f_0(x);
\]
\[
v(x,0) = \varphi_0(x) , \ v(x,T) = \psi_0(x) , \ 0 \leq x \leq 1 ;
\]
\[
v_x(0,t) + [a(1+c) - bc]v(0,t) = 0 , \ 0 \leq t \leq T ;
\]
\[
v_x(1,t) - [a(1+c) - bc]v(1,t) = 0 , \ 0 \leq t \leq T .
\]

Here we use the notations
\[
f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(1-x)], \quad \varphi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi(x) + \varphi(1-x)], \quad \psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\psi(x) + \psi(1-x)].
\]

Having the solution \( v(x,t) \) of this problem, for the function \( w(x,t) \) we get the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve second:
\[
D^\alpha_{0+}(w(x,t) - w(x,0) - w_{xx}(x,t)) = f_1(x) + f_1(x,t);
\]
\[
w(x,0) = \varphi_1(x) , \ w(x,T) = \psi_1(x) , \ 0 \leq x \leq 1 ;
\]
\[
w(0,t) = 0 , \ 0 \leq t \leq T ;
\]
\[
w(1,t) = 0 , \ 0 \leq t \leq T .
\]

Here we use the notations
\[
f_1(x) = f(x) - [1-(1+2c)(2x-1)]f_0(x) , \quad f_1(x,t) = -4(1+2c)v_x(x,t);
\]
\[
\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(x) - [1-(1+2c)(2x-1)]\varphi_0(x);
\]
\[
\psi_1(x) = \psi(x) - [1-(1+2c)(2x-1)]\psi_0(x).
\]

By direct checking from (33) and (39) it is easy to make sure that if the initial and final data \( \varphi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) of problem (1), (2), (24) satisfy necessary (classical and well-known) consistency conditions, then the initial and final data \( \varphi_0(x) \), \( \varphi_1(x) \) and \( \psi_0(x) \), \( \psi_1(x) \) also satisfy the necessary consistency conditions of their corresponding problems.

Thus the solution of the problem of type I (1), (2), (24) is reduced to the sequential solution of two problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable:
At first for the function \( v(x, t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (29)–(32) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable;

Then, using the obtained value \( v(x, t) \), for the function \( w(x, t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (34)–(37) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type (in this particular case they are the Dirichlet conditions) with respect to the spatial variable.

Therefore the main result on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problem of type I (1), (2), (24) in classical and generalized senses follows from Theorem 1 on corresponding solvability of boundary value problems with conditions of the Sturm type. We will formulate this main result at once for all the four types of not strongly regular boundary conditions at the end of the paper.

5 Reduction of the problem of type II to a sequential solution of two problems
with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type

Consider a problem of type II. Since \( a_1 - b_1 = 0 \), and herewith \( |a_1| + |b_1| > 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( a_1 = b_1 = 1 \). Since \( c_0 + d_0 \neq 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( c_0 + d_0 = 1 \). To simplify writing (omitting additional indexes) we denote \( c_0 = c \). Then \( d_0 = 1 - c \).

Therefore the problem of type I can be formulated in the form:

In \( \Omega = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T\} \) find a solution \( u(x, t) \) of the fractional heat equation (1) satisfying the initial condition (2) and boundary conditions of type II:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_x(0, t) + u_x(1, t) + au(0, t) + bu(1, t) &= 0, \\
  cu(0, t) + (1 - c)u(1, t) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(40)

Here the coefficients \( a, b, c \) of the boundary condition are arbitrary complex numbers.

We introduce the auxiliary functions:

\[
v(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u(x, t) - u(1 - x, t) \right],
\]

\[
w(x, t) = u(x, t) - \left[ 1 - (1 - 2c)(2x - 1) \right] v(x, t).
\]

(41)

(42)

Note that if the solution has been searched in the form of the sum of even and odd parts \( u(x, t) = C(x, t) + S(x, t) \) in the initial version of the method (see [3]), then in a new variant suggested by us:

– the function \( v(x, t) \) is odd on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and is the odd part of the function \( u(x, t) \);

– and the function \( w(x, t) \) is not the even part of the function \( u(x, t) \), though it is the even function.

The last follows from the fact that \( w(x, t) \) can be represented in the form

\[
w(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u(x, t) + u(1 - x, t) \right] + (1 - 2c)(2x - 1) v(x, t),
\]

(43)

that is, in the form of the sum of the even part \( \frac{1}{2} \left[ u(x, t) - u(1 - x, t) \right] \) of the function \( u(x, t) \) and the summand \( (1 - 2c)(2x - 1) v(x, t) \), which (it is easy to verify) is also the even function on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \).

From (42) it is easy to find the functions \( v(x, t) \) and \( w(x, t) \), then the solution of the initial problem can be reestablished by the formula

\[
u(x, t) = w(x, t) + \left[ 1 - (1 - 2c)(2x - 1) \right] v(x, t).
\]

(44)

Thus if in the previous variant of the method the solution is represented in the form of the sum of the even and odd parts of the solution, then in the new variant suggested by us it is not quite so. In representation (44) the first summand is even on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and the second summand is neither even, nor odd for \( 1 - 2c \neq 0 \).

For the function \( v(x, t) \) we obtain the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve first:

\[
D_0^\alpha(v(x, t) - v(x, 0)) - v_{xx}(x, t) = f_0(x),
\]

(45)

\[
v(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x), \quad v(x, T) = \psi_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,
\]

(46)

\[
v_x(0, t) + [a(1 - c) - bc]v(0, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]

(47)

\[
v_x(1, t) - [a(1 - c) - bc]v(1, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]

(48)
Here we use the notations
\[ f_0 (x) = \frac{1}{2} [f (x) - f (1 - x)], \]
\[ \varphi_0 (x) = \frac{1}{2} [\varphi (x) - \varphi (1 - x)], \quad \psi_0 (x) = \frac{1}{2} [\psi (x) - \psi (1 - x)]. \] (49)

Having the solution \( v (x, t) \) of this problem, for the function \( w (x, t) \) we get the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve second:
\[
D_{0+}^\alpha (w (x, t) - w (x, 0)) - w_{xx} (x, t) = f_1 (x) + F_1 (x, t), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \]
\[
w (x, 0) = \varphi_1 (x), \quad w (x, T) = \psi_1 (x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad w (0, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \]
\[
w (1, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \] (50) (51) (52) (53)

Here we use the notations
\[
f_1 (x) = f (x) - [1 - (1 - 2c) (2x - 1)] f_0 (x), \quad F_1 (x, t) = -4 (1 - 2c) v_2 (x, t), \]
\[
\varphi_1 (x) = \varphi (x) - [1 - (1 - 2c) (2x - 1)] \varphi_0 (x), \]
\[
\psi_1 (x) = \psi (x) - [1 - (1 - 2c) (2x - 1)] \psi_0 (x). \] (54) (55)

By direct checking from (49) and (55) it is easy to make sure that if the initial and final data \( \varphi (x) \) and \( \psi (x) \) of problem (1), (2), (40) satisfy necessary (classical and well-known) consistency conditions, then the initial and final data \( \varphi_0 (x) \), \( \varphi_1 (x) \) and \( \psi_0 (x) \), \( \psi_1 (x) \) also satisfy the necessary consistency conditions of their corresponding problems.

Thus the solution of the problem of type II (1), (2), (40) is reduced to the sequential solution of two problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable:

– At first for the function \( v (x, t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (45)–(48) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type (in this case they are the Dirichlet conditions) with respect to the spatial variable;
– Then, using the obtained value \( v (x, t) \), for the function \( w (x, t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (50)–(53) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type (in this case with conditions of the Dirichlet problem) with respect to the spatial variable.

Therefore the main result on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problem of type II (1), (2), (40) in classical and generalized senses follows from Theorem 1 on corresponding solvability of boundary value problems with conditions of the Sturm type. We will formulate this main result at once for all the four types of not strongly regular boundary conditions at the end of the paper.

6 Reduction of the problem of type III to a sequential solution of two problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type

Consider a problem of type III. Since \( c_0 + d_0 = 0 \), and herewith \( |c_0| + |d_0| > 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( c_0 = - d_0 = 1 \). Since \( a_1 - b_1 \neq 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( a_1 - b_1 = -1 \).

To simplify writing (omitting additional indexes) we denote \( a_1 = c \). Then \( b_1 = 1 + c \).

Therefore the problem of type III can be formulated in the form:

In \( \Omega = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < 1, \, 0 < t < T \} \) find a solution \( u (x, t) \) of the fractional heat equation (1) satisfying the initial condition (2) and the boundary condition of type III:
\[
\begin{cases}
\displaystyle cu_x (0, t) + (1+c) u_x (1, t) + au (0, t) = 0; \\
u (0, t) - u (1, t) = 0.
\end{cases} \quad (56)
\]

Here the coefficients \( a, \ b, \ c \) of the boundary condition are arbitrary complex numbers.

We introduce the auxiliary functions:
\[
v (x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [u (x, t) - u (1 - x, t)]; \quad (57)
\]
\[
w (x, t) = u (x, t) - [1 - (1 + 2c) (2x - 1)] v (x, t). \quad (58)
\]
Note that if the solution has been searched in the form of a sum of even and odd parts \( u(x,t) = C(x,t) + S(x,t) \) in the initial version of the method (see [3]), then in a variant suggested by us:

- the function \( v(x,t) \) is odd on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and is the odd part of the function \( u(x,t) \);
- and the function \( w(x,t) \) is not the even part of the function \( u(x,t) \), though it is the even function.

The last follows from the fact that \( w(x,t) \) can be represented in the form

\[
  w(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} [u(x,t) + u(1-x,t)] + (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)v(x,t),
\]

that is, in the form of the sum of the even part \( \frac{1}{2} [u(x,t) + u(1-x,t)] \) of the function \( u(x,t) \) and the summand \( (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)v(x,t) \), which (it is easy to verify) is also the even function on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \).

From (58) it is easy to see that if we find the functions \( v(x,t) \) and \( w(x,t) \), then the solution of the initial problem can be reestablished by the formula

\[
  u(x,t) = w(x,t) + [1 - (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)]v(x,t).
\]

Thus if in the previous variant of the method the solution is represented in the form of the sum of the even and odd parts of the solution, then in the new variant suggested by us it is not quite so. In representation (60) the first summand is even on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and the second summand is neither even, nor odd for \( 1 + 2c \neq 0 \).

For the function \( v(x,t) \) we obtain the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve first:

\[
  D_{x+}^a (v(x,t) - v(x,0)) - v_{xx} (x,t) = f_0(x);
\]

\[
  v(x,0) = \varphi_0(x), \quad v(x,T) = \psi_0(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1;
\]

\[
  v(0,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < T;
\]

\[
  v(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]

Here we use the notations

\[
  f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x) - f(1-x)];
\]

\[
  \varphi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x) - \varphi(1-x)], \quad \psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\psi(x) - \psi(1-x)].
\]

Having the solution \( v(x,t) \) of this problem, for the function \( w(x,t) \) we get the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve second:

\[
  D_{x+}^a (w(x,t) - w(x,0)) - w_{xx} (x,t) = f_1(x) + F_1(x,t);
\]

\[
  w(x,0) = \varphi_1(x), \quad w(x,T) = \psi_1(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1;
\]

\[
  w_x(0,t) - aw(0,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T;
\]

\[
  w_x(1,t) + aw(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]

Here we use the notations

\[
  f_1(x) = f(x) - [1 - (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)]f_0(x), \quad F_1(x,t) = -4(1 + 2c)v_x(x,t);
\]

\[
  \varphi_1(x) = \varphi(x) - [1 - (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)]\varphi_0(x);
\]

\[
  \psi_1(x) = \psi(x) - [1 - (1 + 2c)(2x - 1)]\psi_0(x).
\]

By direct checking from (65) and (71) it is easy to make sure that if the initial and final data \( \varphi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) of problem (1), (2), (56) satisfy necessary (classical and well-known) consistency conditions, then the initial and final data \( \varphi_0(x), \varphi_1(x) \) and \( \psi_0(x), \psi_1(x) \) also satisfy the necessary consistency conditions of their corresponding problems.

Thus the solution of the problem of type III (1), (2), (56) is reduced to the sequential solution of two problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable:
At first for the function \( v(x,t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (61)–(94) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type (in this case with conditions of the Dirichlet problem) with respect to the spatial variable;

Then, using the obtained value \( v(x,t) \), for the function \( w(x,t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (66)–(69) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable.

Therefore the main result on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problem of type III (1), (2), (56) in classical and generalized senses follows from the Theorem 1 on corresponding solvability of boundary value problems with conditions of the Sturm type. We will formulate this main result at once for all the four types of not strongly regular conditions at the end of the paper.

7 Reduction of the problem of type IV to a sequential solution of two problems
with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type

Consider a problem of type IV. Since \( c_0 - d_0 = 0 \), and herewith \(|c_0| + |d_0| > 0\), then without loss of generality we can assume \( c_0 = d_0 = 1 \). Since \( a_1 + b_1 \neq 0 \), then without loss of generality we can assume \( a_1 + b_1 = 1 \). To simplify writing (omitting additional indexes) we denote \( a_1 = c \). Then \( b_1 = 1 - c \).

Therefore the problem of type IV can be formulated in the form:

\[
\text{In} \Omega = \{(x,t), 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T\} \text{ find a solution } u(x,t) \text{ of the fractional heat equation (1) satisfying the initial condition (2) and the boundary conditions of type IV:}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&cu_x(0,t) + (1 - c)u_x(1,t) + au(0,t) = 0, \\
u(0,t) + u(1,t) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(72)

Here the coefficients \( a, b, c \) of the boundary condition are arbitrary complex numbers.

We introduce the auxiliary functions:

\[
v(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} [u(x,t) + u(1-x,t)];
\]

(73)

\[
w(x,t) = u(x,t) - [1 - (1 - 2c)(2x - 1)]v(x,t).
\]

(74)

Note that if the solution has been searched in the form of the sum of the even and odd parts \( u(x,t) = C(x,t) + S(x,t) \) in the initial version of the method (see [3]), then in the variant suggested by us:

- the function \( v(x,t) \) is even on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and is the even part of the function \( u(x,t) \);
- and the function \( w(x,t) \) is not the odd part of the function \( u(x,t) \), though it is the odd function.

The last follows from the fact that \( w(x,t) \) can be represented in the form

\[
w(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} [u(x,t) - u(1-x,t)] + (1 - 2c)(2x - 1)v(x,t),
\]

(75)

that is, in the form of the sum of the odd part \( \frac{1}{2} [u(x,t) - u(1-x,t)] \) of the function \( u(x,t) \) and the summand \( (1 - 2c)(2x - 1)v(x,t) \), which (it is easy to verify) is also the odd function on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \).

From (74) it is easy to see that if we find the functions \( v(x,t) \) and \( w(x,t) \), then the solution of the initial problem can be reestablished by the formula

\[
u(x,t) = w(x,t) + [1 - (1 - 2c)(2x - 1)]v(x,t).
\]

(76)

Thus if in the previous variant of the method the solution is represented in the form of the sum of the even and odd parts of the solution, then in the new variant suggested by us it is not quite so. In representation (76) the first summand is odd on the interval \( 0 < x < 1 \), and the second summand is neither even, nor odd for \( (1 - 2c) \neq 0 \).

For the function \( v(x,t) \) we obtain the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve first:

\[
D_{0+}^\alpha (v(x,t) - v(x,0)) - v_{xx}(x,t) = f_0(x);
\]

(77)

\[
v(x,0) = \varphi_0(x), \ v(x,T) = \psi_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1;
\]

(78)

\[
v(0,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]

(79)

\[
v(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]

(80)
Here we use the notations
\[ f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(1-x)], \]
\[ \varphi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x) + \varphi(1-x)], \quad \psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\psi(x) + \psi(1-x)]. \] (81)

Having the solution \( v(x,t) \) of this problem, for the function \( w(x,t) \) we get the initial-boundary value problem which we need to solve second:

\[
D^\alpha_{0+} (w(x,t) - w(x,0)) - w_{xx}(x,t) = f_1(x) + F_1(x,t); \tag{82}
\]
\[
w(x,0) = \varphi_1(x), \quad w(x,T) = \psi_1(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1; \tag{83}
\]
\[
w_x(0,t) + \alpha w(0,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T; \tag{84}
\]
\[
w_x(1,t) - \alpha w(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{85}
\]

Here we use the notations
\[
f_1(x) = f(x) - [1 - (1 - 2\alpha)(2x - 1)] f_0(x), \quad F_1(x,t) = -4(1 - 2\alpha) \psi_1(x,t); \tag{86}
\]
\[
\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(x) - [1 - (1 - 2\alpha)(2x - 1)] \varphi_0(x); \tag{87}
\]
\[
\psi_1(x) = \psi(x) - [1 - (1 - 2\alpha)(2x - 1)] \psi_0(x). \tag{88}
\]

By direct checking from (81) and (87) it is easy to make sure that if the initial and final data \( \varphi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) of problem (1), (2), (72) satisfy necessary (classical and well-known) consistency conditions, then the initial and final data \( \varphi_0(x) \), \( \varphi_1(x) \) and \( \psi_0(x) \), \( \psi_1(x) \) also satisfy the necessary consistency conditions of their corresponding problems.

Thus the solution of the problem of type IV (1), (2), (72) is reduced to the sequential solution of two problems with homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable:

- At first for the function \( v(x,t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (77)–(80) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type (in this case with boundary conditions of Dirichlet) with respect to the spatial variable;
- Then using the obtained value \( v(x,t) \) for the function \( w(x,t) \) we solve the initial-boundary value problem (82)–(85) with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable.

Therefore the main result on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problem of type IV (1), (2), (72) in classical and generalized senses follows from the Theorem 1 on corresponding solvability of boundary value problems with conditions of the Sturm type. We will formulate this result as well as the results of sections 4, 5 and 6 at once for all the four types of not strongly regular boundary conditions in the next section.

8 Formulation of the main result on solvability of the fractional heat equation
with not strongly regular boundary conditions

For completeness of exposition we once again formulate the problem under consideration:

In \( \Omega = \{(x,t), 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T\} \) find a right-hand side \( f(x) \) of the fractional heat equation
\[
D^\alpha_{0+} (u(x,t) - u(x,0)) - u_{xx}(x,t) = f(x) + F(x,t), \tag{88}
\]
and its solutions \( u(x,t) \) satisfying the initial and final conditions
\[
u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \quad u(x,T) = \psi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{89}
\]
and not strongly regular boundary conditions of the general form
\[
\begin{cases}
\alpha_1 u_x(0, t) + b_1 u(1, t) + a_0 u(0, t) + b_0 u(1, t) = 0; \\
c_0 u(0, t) + d_0 u(1, t) = 0. \tag{90}
\end{cases}
\]

The coefficients \( a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k \) \( (k = 0, 1) \) of the boundary condition (90) are arbitrary real numbers, and \( \varphi(x), \psi(x) \) and \( F(x,t) \) are given functions.
We consider boundary conditions which are regular, but not strongly regular, that is, cases when one of the conditions holds:

\begin{align}
I. \quad a_1 + b_1 &= 0, \quad c_0 - d_0 \neq 0; \\
II. \quad a_1 - b_1 &= 0, \quad c_0 + d_0 \neq 0; \\
III. \quad c_0 - d_0 &= 0, \quad a_1 + b_1 \neq 0; \\
IV. \quad c_0 + d_0 &= 0, \quad a_1 - b_1 \neq 0. \\
\end{align}

As shown in sections 4 – 8, the solution to the problem with the not strongly regular boundary conditions of all the four types has been reduced to the sequential solution of two problems with the homogeneous boundary conditions of the Sturm type with respect to the spatial variable. Herewith one of these problems has the Dirichlet boundary conditions with respect to the spatial variable, that is, it is a classical first initial-boundary value problem.

On the basis of this fact, using the results from Theorem 1, now we can easily formulate a theorem on well-posedness of the general problem with the not strongly regular boundary conditions with respect to the spatial variable.

**Theorem 2.** Let one of conditions (91) hold. That is, the boundary conditions (90) are regular, but not strongly regular. If \( F(x,t) \in C^2(\Omega) \), \( \phi(x), \psi(x) \in C^4[0,1] \) and the functions \( F(x,t), \phi(x), \psi(x), \phi''(x) \) and \( \psi''(x) \) satisfy (4) then there exists the unique classical solution \( u(x,t) \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}(\Omega) \), \( f(x) \in C[0,1] \) to the inverse problem (1), (2), (90).

Note that by this method, problem (1), (2), (90) has been solved regardless whether the corresponding spectral problem for the operator of twofold differentiation with the not strongly regular boundary conditions (4) has the basis property of root functions.
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**А.С. Эрдоган, Д. Кусмангазинова, И. Оразов, М.А. Садыбеков**

**Бәлшек жылуәткізгіштік үрдісі кезінің тығыздығының бастапқы және ақырығы температураларлары бойынша қаляпқа келтіру есебі туралы**

Мысалда жұмыста бәлшек жылуәткізгіштік түрдің таңды аракет көрсетілген. Уақыт бойынша Риман-Лиувилл масштабында бәлшек ретті түшіндірлер пайдаланылды. Берілген тәсілдің шешімінен кадар, тәсіндің оң жатқандығы болып алынған функцияны анықтау мүмкіндігі бар. Бул әр тәсіндің оң жатқандығы бәлшектік функцияның шешімін есеп бұзылып табу үшін болады. Бастапқы және ақырықты температураларға қатысты бәлшек жылуәткізгіштік үрдісі кезінің тығыздығы және температурасының анықтау қызметін көрсетеді. Бұл тәсіл регулярлы болмайтын кеңістік-тұралы жұмысқа көрсетілген. Есеп кесте құрылысы дифференциалдық операторға үшін шектік шарттар кеңістік регулярлы емес спектралдық есептің шешімінің базисі болмайтын болса да, оның шешімі байлықталды.

**Кілт сөздер:** кеңістік, жылуәткізгіштік, тәсілдің бастапқы және ақырықтық функциялары, кеңістік жұмыс, әр тәсіл регулярлы емес шектік шарттар, әйнамалдылық айырылу тәсілі.
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**Об одной задаче восстановления плотности источников процесса дробной теплопроводности по начальной и конечной температурам**

В статье рассмотрены обратные задачи для дробного уравнения теплопроводности, где дробная производная по времени понимается в смысле Римана-Лиувилля. Вместе с решением этого уравнения необходимо найти неизвестную правую часть, зависящую только от пространственной переменной. Рассмотрена задача, моделирующая процесс определения температуры и плотности источников в процессе дробной теплопроводности относительно заданных начальных и конечных температур. Исследованы проблемы с общими граничными условиями относительно пространственной переменной, которые не являются усиленно регулярными. Доказаны существование и единственность классического решения задачи. Задача решается независимо от того, что соответствующая спектральная задача для оператора кратного дифференцирования с неусиленно регулярными граничными условиями может не иметь свойства базисности корневых функций.

**Ключевые слова:** обратная задача, уравнение теплопроводности, дробная теплопроводность, неусиленно регулярные граничные условия, метод разделения переменных.
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В статье рассмотрены обратные задачи для дробного уравнения теплопроводности, где дробная производная по времени понимается в смысле Римана-Лиувилля. Вместе с решением этого уравнения необходимо найти неизвестную правую часть, зависящую только от пространственной переменной. Рассмотрена задача, моделирующая процесс определения температуры и плотности источников в процессе дробной теплопроводности относительно заданных начальных и конечных температур. Исследованы проблемы с общими граничными условиями относительно пространственной переменной, которые не являются усиленно регулярными. Доказаны существование и единственность классического решения задачи. Задача решается независимо от того, что соответствующая спектральная задача для оператора кратного дифференцирования с неусиленно регулярными граничными условиями может не иметь свойства базисности корневых функций.
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